

On 2 August 2010, the applicant obtained a participating assignment and was again part of the RASL. He had to stay on the RASL for one year following his assignment to be eligible for promotion consideration. He was considered and not selected by the CY2012 Line and Non-line Promotion Selection Board. As a result of his second nonselection, his mandatory separation date of 1 October 2012 was established.

A review of his record reveals there were no errors at the time it was reviewed by each promotion board. No information was provided to the board that would identify him, or any other member meeting the board as in-the-promotion-zone or above-the-promotion-zone. Promotion is a competitive process. Board members use the "whole person concept" in reviewing the entire Officer Selection Record. A promotion board is the sole recommending authority, and no feedback is provided by the board to explain why a member is not recommended to the next higher grade.

There were no errors in the record as it met the boards. He has provided no evidence that the board's recommendations were unfair or unjust.

The complete PB evaluation is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 7 August 2012 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, this office has received no response (Exhibit D).

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. After thoroughly reviewing the evidence of record and the applicant's complete submission, we are not persuaded that the record should be changed. The applicant's contentions are duly noted; however, he has not provided persuasive evidence to override the rationale provided by the Air Force office of primary responsibility (OPR). Therefore, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the OPR and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. In the absence of persuasive evidence to the contrary, we find no

basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered BC-2012-02952 in Executive Session on 12 February 2013, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

, Panel Chair
, Member
, Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 19 Jun 12, w/atch.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Record.
- Exhibit C. Letter, ARPC/PB, dated 7 Aug 12.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 7 Aug 12.

Panel Chair