

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-02827
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His Fitness Assessment (FA) dated 29 December 2011 be removed from his records.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He was prescribed medication called Trazodone and was administered steroid injection treatments in his lower back since October 2011. Weight gain and swelling are some of the side effects of these injections.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving on active duty in the grade of staff sergeant.

The applicant's last five FA scores are as follows:

<u>DATE</u>	<u>SCORE</u>
6 August 2010	UNSATISFACTORY
30 December 2010	UNSATISFACTORY
8 January 2011	EXEMPT
18 April 2011	SATISFACTORY
* 29 December 2011	UNSATISFACTORY

*Contested FA score.

On 10 July 2012, DPSIM advised the applicant to provide additional supporting documentation to substantiate his claim (i.e., AF Form 469, *Duty Limiting Condition Report*, AF Form 422, *Notification of Air Force Member's Qualification Status*, documenting his limitations and exemptions, and AF Form 108, *Physical Fitness Education and Intervention Processing*, indicating he had a pre-existing condition that contributed to his FA failure, signed fitness assessment score sheet and signed

fitness questionnaire) within 30 days. As of this date, this office has received no response.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIM recommends denial. DPSIM states on 10 July 2012, a memorandum was sent to the applicant requesting additional documentation. The applicant did not respond.

The complete DPSIM evaluation, with attachments, is at Exhibit B.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

On 14 December 2012, a copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant for review and response within 30 days (Exhibit C). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
 2. The application was timely filed.
 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. After thoroughly reviewing the evidence of record and noting the applicant's contentions, we are not persuaded the contested FA is in error or unjust. The AF Form 469 is noted; however, in the absence of a signed fitness assessment score sheet and signed fitness questionnaire, AF Form 108, and AF Form 422, we find insufficient evidence to warrant disturbing the record. However, should the applicant provide such evidence, we would be willing to reconsider his request. In view of the above and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.
-

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of

newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-02827 in Executive Session on 12 February 2013, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

The following documentary evidence pertaining to AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-02827 was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 12 March 2012, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Letter, AFPC/DPSIM, dated 5 October 2012, w/atchs.
- Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 14 December 2012.