RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-02728 COUNSEL: NONE HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His reentry (RE) code be changed to allow him to rejoin the military.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He was not told that he would not be allowed to rejoin the military at the time of his discharge.

The applicant does not provide any supporting documentation.

His complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant enlisted into the Regular Air Force on 19 Aug 08.

His commander notified him that he was recommending that he be discharged from the Air Force under the provisions of AFPD 36-32 and AFI 36-3208, Chapter 5, paragraph 5.49, *Minor Disciplinary Infractions*. After a legal review, the Deputy Staff Judge Advocate found it legally sufficient. The applicant was discharged with an under honorable conditions (general) discharge and a RE code of "2B" (Separated with a general or under-otherthan-honorable-conditions (UOTHC) discharge) on 9 Jun 09 after serving 9 months and 21 days on active duty.

The remaining relevant facts pertaining to this application are contained in the letters prepared by the appropriate offices of the Air Force, which are at Exhibit C and D.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSOR recommends denial and states that the applicant's discharge to include his character of service was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge

instructions and was within the discharge authority's discretion. Further, the applicant's misconduct clearly outweighs the positive aspects of his service and every effort was made by his supervisory chain to rehabilitate him; however, he did not overcome the deficiencies.

The complete DPSOR evaluation is at Exhibit C.

AFPC/DPSOA recommends denial by stating that his RE code is correct per the general discharge that he received and is required by AFI 36-2606, *Reenlistments in the USAF*. The applicant has not provided any proof of an error or injustice occurring with regard to his "2B" RE code.

The complete DPSOA evaluation is at Exhibit D.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

Copies of the Air Force evaluations were forwarded to the applicant on 17 Sep 12 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, this office has received no response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.

2. The application was not timely filed; however, it is in the interest of justice to excuse the failure to timely file.

Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to 3. demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice. Applicant's contentions are duly noted; however, we are not persuaded that the applicant has been the victim of an error or injustice. At the time members are separated from the Air Force, they are furnished an RE code predicated upon the quality of their service and circumstances of their separation. After a thorough review evidence of record, of the we believe that qiven the circumstances surrounding the applicant's separation, the RE code issued was in accordance with the appropriate directives. Therefore, we find no basis upon which to recommend favorable action on this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-02728 in Executive Session on 23 Jan 13, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

- , Panel Chair
- , Member
- , Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 20 Jun 12. Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records. Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSOR, dated 8 Aug 12. Exhibit D. Letter, AFPC/DPSOA, dated 10 Sep 12. Exhibit E. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 17 Sep 12.

Panel Chair