

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBERS: BC-2012-02592
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His Fitness Assessments (FAs), dated 9 August 2011 and 7 June 2012, be removed from the Air Force Fitness Management System (AFFMS).

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He failed to meet the abdominal circumference standards during his mandatory FAs due to the fact that he was unable to perform any physical training due to a broken ankle injury by orders from his orthopedic surgeon. Despite the strict orders from his surgeon, he was still instructed by his Unit Fitness Program Monitor (UFPM) to have his FA conducted with abdominal circumference measurement only so he would not show overdue.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Regular Air Force in the grade of technical sergeant (E-6).

The remaining relevant facts, extracted from the applicant's military service record, are contained in the evaluation provided by the Air Force office of primary responsibility at Exhibit D.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIM recommends denial. DPSIM states that on 27 June 2012, a memorandum was sent to the applicant requesting additional documentation. Specifically, copies of his Air Force (AF) Form 422, *Notification of Air Force Member's Qualification Status*; AF Form 108, *Physical Fitness Education and Intervention Processing*; and AF Form 469, *Duty Limiting Condition Report*. Additionally, copies of the signed fitness assessment score sheets and signed fitness questionnaire. The applicant provided his AF Form 422 and AF Form 469 that cleared him for the abdominal circumference

portion of the FA. He was exempt from all other portions of the FA. Both FA scores are based solely on the applicant's abdominal circumference measurements only.

In accordance with Air Force Instruction 36-2905, if an airman becomes injured or ill during the FA and is unable to complete all required components, he/she will have the option of being evaluated at the Medical Treatment Facility, but his/her test will still count unless rendered invalid by the Unit Commander.

The complete DPSIM evaluation, with attachment, is at Exhibit D.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 6 August 2012, for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit E). As of this date, this office has received no response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case. The applicant contends that he failed the contested FAs due to the fact that he was unable to perform any physical training due to a broken ankle injury. However, we find the lack of any physical training did not absolve him of his responsibility to comply with Air Force standards for circumference measurement. In this respect, we note that while he was not medically cleared to complete the other components of the contested FAs, he was medically cleared for the circumference measurements. We also recognize that although he had similar circumference measurements during previous FAs, his ability to successfully complete the other components raised his composite scores to acceptable levels. However, we do not find that his inability to do so during the contested FAs due to his injury has rendered him the victim of an injustice, as he could have adjusted his diet accordingly, in order to reduce his waist circumference. We also find no evidence the measurements were erroneously recorded. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-02592 in Executive Session on 5 March 2013, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

_____, Panel Chair
_____, Member
_____, Member

The following documentary evidence was considered in connection with AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-02592:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 12 Jun 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
- Exhibit C. Letter, Applicant, dated 13 Jul 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit D. Letter, AFPC/DPSIM, dated 20 Jul 12, w/atc.
- Exhibit E. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 6 Aug 12.

Panel Chair