

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-02479
COUNSEL: NO
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

Her date of rank (DOR) to captain (O-3) be corrected.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

The Air Force Reserve promotion cycle delayed her promotion to captain to 1 October 2008, separating her from her 2004 peer group, and pushing her promotion consideration to the grade of major (O-4) from Calendar Year (CY) 2013 to CY 2014.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Air Force Reserve in the grade of captain. She transferred from active duty to a Reserve assignment as a first lieutenant (O-2) effective 2 August 2007.

She was considered for Reserve promotion on 1 April 2008, the earliest opportunity following her assignment. She was promoted to the grade of captain with a DOR of 1 October 2008.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

ARPC/CV recommends denial. CV states that while the applicant met the DOR criteria for the Fiscal Year 2008 Reserve of the Air Force Captain Promotion Procedure held on 1 May 2007, she was on active duty on the adjourning date and not a Reserve asset. Therefore, she was not eligible to be considered by this Reserve promotion board.

When the applicant left active duty, she also left her active duty year/peer group. Her Reserve peers are captains with a DOR between 1 October 2008 and 30 September 2009.

The complete CV evaluation is at Exhibit B.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 7 August 2012 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, this office has received no response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
 2. The application was timely filed.
 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an error or an injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.
-

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-02479 in Executive Session on 21 February 2013, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

_____, Chair
_____, Member
_____, Member

The following documentary evidence pertaining to AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-02479 was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 10 Apr 12.
- Exhibit B. Letter, ARPC/CV, dated 16 Jul 12.
- Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 7 Aug 12.

Chair