

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-02477
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His Home of Record reflect Beckley, WV, rather than Surfside, SC.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

The HOR section on his DD Form 1966, *Record of Military Processing - Armed Forces of the United States*, is blank. He is not sure why his current address at the time of his initial enlistment is used for his HOR. He was born in Beckley, WV, and that is where his family lives.

In support of the applicant's appeal, he provides a copy of his DD Form 1966.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Regular Air Force in the grade of staff sergeant.

The applicant's DD Form 4/1, *Enlistment/Reenlistment Document Armed Forces of the United States*, reflects the HOR as Surfside, SC.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIPE recommends denial. DPSIPE states the applicant's records reflect Surfside, SC, as the HOR at which he lived prior to entering the Air Force enlisted ranks. This address is also listed on his DD Form 4 as the HOR, which per AFI 36-2608, Table A2.1, Item 5, is the source document for HOR. Due to this finding, the applicant's HOR is Surfside, SC. The Joint Federal Travel Regulations (JFTR) for Uniformed Service Members Appendix A states that the HOR is the place recorded as the home of the individual when commissioned, appointed, enlisted, inducted, or ordered into a tour of active duty. Any correction made to a

member's HOR must be fully justified and the home, as corrected, must be the member's actual home upon entering the service, and not a different place selected for the member's convenience. There is no error or justification that warrants a change in the applicant's record.

The DPSIPE complete evaluation is at Exhibit B.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

On 2 July 2012, a copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant for review and response within 30 days (Exhibit C). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice. The applicant's contentions are duly noted; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-02477 in Executive Session on 23 January 2013, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

The following documentary evidence pertaining to AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-02477 was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 8 May 2012, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Letter, AFPC/DPSIPE, dated 22 June 2012, w/atchs.
- Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 2 July 2012.