

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-01876
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

Her service points be updated and corrected.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

Her Reserve Order D-0270, dated 30 December 2005, rendered for her attendance at a formal school for the period 4 January 2006 through 3 February 2006, was not properly posted to her service history.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Air Force Reserve in the grade of technical sergeant (E-6).

On 1 June 2012, ARPC/DPTS, requested the applicant provide a certified copy of Reserve Order D-0270 or a Leave and Earnings Statement (LES) substantiating her participation for the period of the orders.

The remaining relevant facts, extracted from the applicant's military service records are contained in the evaluation provided by the Air Force office of primary responsibility at Exhibit C.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

ARRC/DPT recommends denial. DPT states that the Reserve Order the applicant provided does not have a certifying official's signature, nor does she provide proof of payment (LES) to support her claim of active duty participation.

DPT indicates that if it is the Board's decision to grant the relief sought, then the applicant's record should be corrected to award 20 paid active duty points for a school tour from

14 January 2006 to 3 February 2006. Her Retention/Retirement Year from 30 December 2005 to 29 December 2006 would then show 69 active duty points, 54 inactive duty training points, 15 correspondence course points, and 15 membership points for a total of 153 total points and a satisfactory year of service towards retirement.

The complete DPT evaluation is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 10 September 2012 for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit D). As of this date, this office has received no response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
 2. The application was timely filed.
 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt their rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. If the applicant were to provide a copy of the requested LES to support her claim of active duty participation for the period in question, the Board would reconsider her request. Nevertheless, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.
-

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-01876 in Executive Session on 15 February 2013, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair
Member
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered in connection with AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-01876:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 7 May 12, w/atchs.
Exhibit B. Letter, ARPC/DPTS, dated 1 Jun 12.
Exhibit C. Letter, ARPC/DPT, dated 29 Aug 12.
Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 10 Sep 12.

Panel Chair