

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-02679
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

Her Home of Record (HOR) be changed from Dayton, Ohio to Fremont, California.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

She was homeless at the time of her enlistment into the Air Force and was informed that she had to use some type of address.

She now has an actual residence in a different state now.

The applicant provides no documents in support of her request.

Her complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant contracted her initial enlistment in the Regular Air Force on 2 Sep 2009. Her DD Form 4/1, *Enlistment - Reenlistment Document*, reflects Dayton, Ohio as her HOR.

Joint Federal Travel Regulation (JFTR) Volume I, Appendix A, states in part that a HOR is the place recorded as the individual's home when commissioned, appointed, enlisted, inducted, or ordered into a tour of active duty. Any correction must be fully justified and the home, as corrected, must be the member's actual home upon entering the Service, and not a different place selected for the member's convenience.

THE AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

HQ AFPC/DPSIPE recommends denial. DPSIPE states the applicant's records reflect Dayton, Ohio as the city/state in which she lived prior to entering the Air Force. In addition, Dayton, Ohio has been consistently listed throughout her military records.

The complete DPSIPE evaluation is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF THE AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

On 7 Aug 2012, a copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, no response has been received by this office (Exhibit D).

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
 2. The application was timely filed.
 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.
-

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered this application in Executive Session on 11 Dec 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

, Panel Chair
, Member
, Member

The following documentary evidence was considered in AFBCMR BC-2012-02679:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 8 Jun 2012.
Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
Exhibit C. Letter, HQ AFPC/DPSIPE, dated 2 Jul 2012, w/atch.
Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 7 Aug 2012.

Panel Chair