

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-02152

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

---

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His home of record be changed from Boston, Georgia to Tallahassee, Florida.

---

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He was born and raised in Tallahassee FL. He has a Florida driver's license and pays Florida state taxes. His father only works in Georgia. His mother lives in Tallahassee.

In support of his request, the applicant submits a copy of his birth certificate.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

---

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant enlisted in the Regular Air Force on 30 March 2011.

The applicant's DD Form 4/1, *Enlistment/Reenlistment Document, Armed Forces of the United States*, reflects his place of enlistment as Jacksonville Military Entrance Processing Station (MEPS), Jacksonville Florida and his home of record as an address in Boston, Georgia.

---

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIPE recommends denial. DPSIPE states according to Table A2.1, item 5, of AFI 36-2608, *Military Personnel Records System*, the DD Form 4/1 is the source document for home of record. The address listed on the applicant's DD Form 4/1 is also listed as the applicant's current address on his DD Form 1966, *Record of Military Processing-Armed Forces of the United States*, dated 10 March 2011. Appendix A, of the Joint Federal Travel Regulation (JFTR) for Uniformed Service Members states "home of record is the place recorded as the home of the individual when commissioned, appointed, enlisted inducted or ordered into a tour of active duty. Therefore, the applicant's home of record is the Boston Georgia address.

The complete AFPC/DPSIPE, evaluation with attachment, is at Exhibit C.

---

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 19 June 2012 for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit D). To date, this office has not received a response.

---

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

---

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

---

The following members of the Board considered this application in Executive Session on 6 December 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

, Chair  
, Member  
, Member

The following documentary evidence pertaining to AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-02152 was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 16 April 2012, w/atch.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
- Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSIPE, dated 5 June 2012, w/atch.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 19 June 2012.

Panel Chair