RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-02004

                                     COUNSEL: NONE

                                     HEARING DESIRED: NO

_____

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His fitness assessment, dated 13 March 2011, be removed from the
Air Force Fitness Management System (AFFMS).

_____

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He fractured his left foot on 24 October 2010 and was placed on
physical restrictions for six weeks. On 4 December 2010, he was
exempted from all components of the fitness assessment. During
a follow-up appointment the physical restriction was extended
until 14 February 2011.

On 13 March 2011, he was directed to perform the fitness
assessment and failed. AFI 36-2905, paragraph 4.2.2.3, *Fitness
Programs*, states members who are on physical restrictions for
greater than 30 days should be given time for reconditioning.
These members then become eligible for fitness assessments 42
days after the expiration of their physical restriction.

He was directed to take the fitness assessment 27 days after his
physical restriction ended and not 42 days, as required.

In support of his request, the applicant provides his
physician's notes and his AFFMS printout.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at
Exhibit A.

_____

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Air Force Reserves in
the grade of master sergeant.

_____

<u>AIR FORCE EVALUATION</u>:

AFRC/A1S recommends denial. A military provider must make the final disposition for any physical limitations in cases where military members are seen by non-military providers, or when Air Reserve Component (ARC) members bring recommendations from their Personal Care Provider (PCP). Limitations will be transcribed by an Air Force provider on an AF Form 422, *Physical Profile Serial Report*. The applicant did not provide the required AF Form 469, *Duty Limiting Report,* or AF Form 422 to show the dates of exemptions as required by AFI 36-2905.

Additionally, at a minimum, members should be assessed on the abdominal circumference (AC) barring rare circumstances. The applicant's condition did not prevent him from taking the AC measurement, nor did any profile or AF Form 422 prevent him from doing so.

There is insufficient documentation showing the exemptions and the expiration dates of the exemptions.

The complete A1S evaluation is at Exhibit B.

_____

<u>APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION</u>:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 20 June 2012, for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit C). As of this date, this office has received no response.

_____

<u>THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT</u>:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.

2. The application was timely filed.

3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of this case. However, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no compelling basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

_____

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

_____

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-02004 in Executive Session on 1 November 2012 under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

                    , Panel Chair
                    , Member
                    , Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

    Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 2 May 12, w/atchs.
    Exhibit B. Letter, AFRC/A1S, dated 14 Jun 12.
    Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 20 Jun 12.

                         Panel Chair