

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-01990
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His home of record (HOR) be changed to reflect an address in Hawaii.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He was a military dependent living overseas when he enlisted in the Air Force.

He was informed that he could not use an overseas address on his enlistment contract, so he used the address from his father's last duty station, MacDill Air Force Base, Florida. He is not from Florida; nor does he have any connection with the area. His state of residence is Hawaii and he plans to retire there in the future.

In support of his request, the applicant provides a copy of his Hawaii Driver's License.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Air Force in the grade of master sergeant.

The remaining relevant facts pertaining to this application are contained in the letter prepared by the appropriate office of the Air Force, which is attached at Exhibit C.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIPE recommends denial. DPSIPE states the Joint Federal Travel Regulation (JFTR) for Uniformed Service Members states the "HOR" is the place recorded as the home of the individual when commissioned, appointed, enlisted, inducted, or ordered into a tour of active duty. The applicant's records reflect Riverview, FL as the city/state where he lived prior to entering the Air Force. In addition, Riverview, FL is consistently

listed throughout his military records and was listed as his HOR when he entered the Air Force on 1 Jul 94.

DPSIPE states there is justification to change the applicant's HOR to the actual address of his home/residence while living at Misawa Air Base, Japan, if he can provide verification of residence at the time of enlistment.

The complete DPSIPE evaluation, with attachment, is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 19 Jun 12 for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit D). As of this date, this office has received no response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. In view of the above and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to favorably consider this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered Docket Number BC-2012-01990 in Executive Session on 20 Dec 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair
Member
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 24 Apr 12, w/atch.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
- Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSIPE, dated 22 May 12, w/atch.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 19 Jun 12.

Panel Chair