# RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-01906

COUNSEL: NO

HEARING DESIRED: NO

## APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His Home of Record (HOR) be changed to 2330 Buckboard Trail, Cottonwood, AZ 86326.

## APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

The address on his initial enlistment documents is incorrect.

The applicant does not provide any evidence in support of his appeal.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Regular Air Force in the grade of technical sergeant (E-6).

The remaining relevant facts, extracted from the applicant's military service records, are contained in the evaluation by the Air Force office of primary responsibility at Exhibit C.

# AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIPE recommends denial. DPSIPE states there is no error or justification that warrants a change in the applicant's HOR. The applicant's record reflects 812 North 6th Street, Unit B, Cottonwood, AZ 86326 as the HOR at which he lived prior to entering the Air Force. This address is listed as the applicant's HOR on his DD Form 4, Enlistment/Reenlistment Document Armed Forces of the United States, dated 14 May 2002, which according to Air Force Instruction 36-3208, Table A2.1, Item 5, is the source document for HOR. The same address is listed as the applicant's current address at the time of enlistment on his DD Form 1966, Record of Military Processing-Armed Forces of the United States, dated 10 January 2002. The Joint Federal Travel Regulations (JFTR) for Uniformed Service Members, Appendix A, states that the HOR is the place recorded as the home of the individual when commissioned, appointed, enlisted, inducted, or ordered into a tour of active duty.

The complete DPSIPE evaluation, with attachment, is at Exhibit C.

#### APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 18 June 2012, for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit D). As of this date, this office has received no response.

#### THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

- 1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
- 2. The application was timely filed.
- 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an error or an injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

### THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-01906 in Executive Session on 6 December 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

- , Panel Chair
- , Member
- , Member

The following documentary evidence was considered in connection with AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-01906:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 16 Apr 12, w/atchs. Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records. Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSIPE, dated 4 Jun 12. Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 18 Jun 12.

Panel Chair