# RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-01429

COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

## APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His DD Form 214, Armed Forces of the United States Report of Transfer or Discharge, be corrected to reflect his foreign service in Vietnam.

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>APPLICANT</u> CONTENDS THAT:

He was in Ton Sun Nuit AB, Saigon, on two different occasions in a temporary duty status from Taiwan. He went to Vietnam after his son was born in May of 1972, which was prior to being stationed at Clark AB, Philippines, in late 1973.

In support of his request, the applicant provides a personal statement.

His complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

### STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant was assigned to Taiwan from 15 Jun 70 to 25 Jul 71. He was also assigned to the Philippines from 29 Mar 73 to 11 Mar 74.

The remaining relevant facts pertaining to this application are contained in the letter prepared by the appropriate office of the Air Force, which is at Exhibit C.

#### AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPAPP recommends denial. After a thorough review of the applicant's records, they were able to verify that he was assigned in Taiwan from 15 Jun 70 through 25 Jul 71 and in the Philippines from 29 Mar 73 through 11 Mar 74. However, there is no mention of any TDY to Vietnam anywhere in his records. Therefore, his foreign service is correct as reflected on his DD Form 214.

The complete DPAPP evaluation is at Exhibit C.

\_\_\_\_\_

# APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 25 Jun 12 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, this office has received no response.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

- 1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
- 2. The application was not timely filed; however, it is in the interest of justice to excuse the failure to timely file.
- 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

\_\_\_\_\_

# THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-01429 in Executive Session on 30 Aug 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

- , Panel Chair
- , Member
- , Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

```
Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 13 Mar 12, w/atch. Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records. Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPAPP, dated 15 Jun 12. Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 25 Jun 12.
```

Panel Chair