RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-01424 COUNSEL: NONE HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

The Fitness Assessment (FA) dated 15 Feb 2012 be removed from the Air Force Fitness Management System (AFFMS).

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He failed the FA dated 15 Feb 12 due to a medical issue, i.e., he was being evaluated by a neurosurgeon for a possible pineal gland cyst which most likely would be the cause of the headaches he experienced during the running portion of his FA.

In support of his appeal, the applicant provides copies of an Air Force Form 108, *Physical fitness Education and Intervention Processing*, dated 6 Mar 12, and a Department of Veterans Affairs (DVA) VA Form 10-5345, *Request for Authorization to Release Medical Records or Health Information*, 16 Mar 12.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Regular Air Force in the grade of SSgt (E-5).

He received an unsatisfactory score on his FA dated 15 Feb 12.

On 26 Apr 12, AFPC/DPSIM requested the applicant provide a copy of his AF Form 469, Duty Limiting Condition Report and AF Form 422, Notification of Air Force Member's Qualification Status.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIM recommends denial. DPSIM notes they queried the applicant for additional medical information with regard to his

contention that he failed the FA due to a medical issue; however, the applicant failed to respond to their request.

The complete DPSIM evaluation, with attachment, is at Exhibit B.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 26 Jul 12 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, no response has been received by this office (Exhibit C).

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.

2. The application was timely filed.

Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to 3. demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. In this respect, while we note the comments of the medical provider; however, the applicant has not provided the requested documentation. As a result, we did not find the evidence compelling. Should the applicant provide additional information to support his claim, we would be willing to reconsider his appeal. In view of the above and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief souqht in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application. The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-01424 in Executive Session on 11 December 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 16 Mar 12, w/atchs. Exhibit B. Letter, AFPC/DPSIM, dated 26 Jun 12, w/atch. Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 26 Jul 12.

Panel Chair