

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-01399
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

The effective date of his transfer of education benefits (TEB) to the Post 9/11 GI Bill be changed from 13 Apr 12 to 11 Jan 11.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He has attempted to submit his TEB Statement of Understanding (SOU) since 11 Jan 11. He was advised that his previous attempts are reflected in the Right Now Technology (RNT) system. There have been multiple issues with his office's exchange mail server. He did not realize that his TEB SOU was not delivered to the appropriate website.

The applicant did not provide any documentation in support of his request.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving on active duty in the grade of technical sergeant.

On 9 Apr 12, the applicant completed a TEB SOU and his TEB was approved effective 13 Apr 12.

The remaining relevant facts pertaining to this application are contained in the letter prepared by the appropriate office of the Air Force, which is attached at Exhibit B.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

HQ AFPC/DPSIT recommends denial. DPSIT states the notes in RNT seem to indicate the applicant never attempted to follow through with signing the SOU. On 1 Feb 11, the applicant was given an email address to sign and return the SOU. On 23 Feb 11, he stated he would fax the SOU on that day. On 10 Mar 11, the applicant called and stated he had faxed the SOU but had not

heard anything from the Total Force Service Center. The applicant was told to email the signed SOU to AFPC. On 7 Apr 11, the applicant was notified that his application for TEB had expired because he never submitted the signed SOU.

The complete DPSIT evaluation, with attachments, is at Exhibit B.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 30 May 12 for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit C). As of this date, this office has not received a response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR BC-2012-01399 in Executive Session on 13 Sep 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair
Member
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 11 Apr 12.
- Exhibit B. Letter, AFPC/DPSIT, dated 9 May 12.
- Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 30 May 12.

Panel Chair