

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-01398
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His 12E7 Weighted Airman Promotion System (WAPS) scores be used for 11E7 WAPS supplemental consideration.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

1. On 1 Apr 10, he received approval of his request for a humanitarian reassignment due to his mother's declining health. His mother passed away on 21 Aug 10 and he had to deal with her loss and other issues regarding her estate that caused him much mental stress. These issues coupled with his medical conditions greatly affected his performance on the 11E7 WAPS testing.

2. He has documentation from his Primary Care Manager (PCM) that shows his physical impairment. He was experiencing fatigue and shortness of breath which were symptoms of his hypothyroidism. He was referred to see a specialist; however, the original appointment was with the wrong physician and then was unable to reschedule his appointment prior to him changing duty stations. After arriving at his new duty station, he consulted with his PCM and was able to get the proper blood work accomplished which confirmed his elevated hypothyroid condition. His dosage of medicine was adjusted on 10 Aug 10, 24 Sep 10, and 6 Oct 10.

3. On 29 Mar 11, he tested for promotion to the grade of master sergeant. He was not diagnosed with his current medical condition until May 11 when he was told he had an underactive thyroid. He did not refuse testing since he was unaware of his present health condition.

3. He was also diagnosed with glucose intolerance on 16 Sep 11 and sleep apnea on 16 Nov 11. He feels his medical conditions were responsible for his impaired testing ability and duty performance during the 11E7 testing cycle.

In support of his request, the applicant provides copies of letters of support, a copy of his supplemental promotion consideration results, a copy of his Board for Correction of Military Records (BCMR) Directive and Record of Proceedings, a

copy of his Tuition Assistance Waiver Request package, and excerpts from his medical records.

His complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Regular Air Force in the grade of technical sergeant.

On 12 Jan 12, the applicant was granted a waiver of the "No Show" for his WAPS testing by the BCMR and provided supplemental promotion consideration for the 10E7 promotion cycle; however, he was non-selected for promotion.

The remaining relevant facts pertaining to this application are contained in the letter prepared by the appropriate office of the Air Force, which is at Exhibit B.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSOE recommends denial. The applicant did not inform anyone that he felt physically unable to test prior to or during his testing. His request for correction to his records comes in well after the selects were run and the results were publicly released. The applicant found out that he missed promotion by less than 10 points. Further, even if the applicant's request is approved, he will miss promotion by 4.85 points for the 11E7 cycle.

The complete DPSOS evaluation is at Exhibit B.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 30 May 11 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, this office has received no response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.

3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-01398 in Executive Session on 30 Aug 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 29 Feb 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Letter, AFPC/DPSOE, dated 18 May 12.
- Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 30 May 12.

Panel Chair