



participated in aerial flight operations. There is no official documentation verifying the applicant was recommended for and approved for award of the AM. While the applicant provides what appears to be a transmittal letter for award elements to be included in his military personnel records, the letter does not specifically indicate the applicant was awarded the AM. Furthermore, the applicant has not exhausted all administrative avenues in accordance with Title 10, U.S.C., Section 1130. He did not provide a signed recommendation made by someone with firsthand knowledge of the act or achievement, a proposed citation, eyewitness statements, and chain of command endorsements, or a request submitted through Congressional channels.

The complete AFPC/DPSIDRA evaluation is at Exhibit C.

---

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 24 May 12, for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit D). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

---

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was not timely filed; however, it is in the interest of justice to excuse the failure to timely file.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an error or an injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

---

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of

newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

---

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-01324 in Executive Session on 23 Oct 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair  
Member  
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 3 Apr 12, w/atck.  
Exhibit B. Applicant's Military Personnel Records.  
Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSIDRA, dated 15 May 12.  
Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 24 May 12.

Panel Chair