RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-01304

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His 15 Mar 12 "Unsatisfactory" Fitness Assessment (FA) score be removed from the Air Force Fitness Management System (AFFMS).

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

When he completed his test his counter accidently wrote down the wrong number. He completed 44 push-ups correctly and his counter wrote down "43" as the number of push-ups he completed. He knew before he tested the number of push-ups and sit-ups needed to pass, so when he reached that number he stopped knowing that was all he needed to achieve a 75 percent.

Since he knew the minimum number needed to pass, why would he have stopped one push-up short, resulting in a failure.

He failed to check his sheet before he signed it. After realizing he made a mistake, he contacted the counter; however the counter could not remember the exact number of push-ups he achieved correctly.

He is pending a medical discharge and would like to go out on a good note.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Regular Air Force in the grade of staff sergeant.

His FA dated 15 Mar 12 reflects a total score of 74.00, which was recorded as "Unsatisfactory."

The remaining relevant facts pertaining to this application extracted from the applicant's military records are contained in the letter prepared by the appropriate office of the Air Force at Exhibit B.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIM recommends denial. DPSIM states on 23 Apr 12 a memorandum was sent to the applicant requesting additional documentation. Specifically, a copy of his signed FA score sheet by him and the local Fitness Assessment Cell representative, and a memorandum from the tester stating what happened. The member did not provide the requested documentation.

The complete DPSIM evaluation, with attachment, is at Exhibit B.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

On 26 Jul 12, a copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, a response has not been received by this office (Exhibit C).

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

- 1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
- 2. The application was timely filed.
- 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered Docket Number BC-2012-01304 in Executive Session on 5 Nov 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair Member Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 23 Mar 12.

Exhibit B. Letter, AFPC/DPSIM, dated 28 Jun 12, w/atch.

Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 26 Jul 12.

Panel Chair