

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-01145
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His records be corrected to reflect a temporary duty (TDY) deployment to Nakhon Phanom, Thailand in 1974.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

His records reflect he has never been TDY his entire career; however, his AF Form 910, *TSgt, SSgt, and Sgt Performance Report* during the period 1 Sep 74 through 1 Mar 75 states he was "TDY to a remote location and was commended for doing an outstanding job."

In support of his request, the applicant provides copies of AF Form 910, Chronology of Service Assignments Listing, and a Report of Individual Personnel (RIP).

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

On 19 May 71, the applicant enlisted in the Regular Air Force. He served 20 years, 8 months, and 12 days of active duty service.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPAPP recommends denial. DPAPP states the applicant has a performance report from 1 Sep 74 through 1 Mar 75 that states he was TDY to a remote location; however, there is nothing in his records that indicates where the remote location was.

The complete DPAPP evaluation is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 8 Jun 12 for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit D). As of this date, this office has not received a response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
 2. The application was not timely filed; however, it is in the interest of justice to excuse the failure to timely file.
 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the office of primary responsibility (OPRs) and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. We note the applicant provided a copy of his performance report during the period 1 Sep 74 through 1 Mar 75, which indicates he was TDY to a remote location; however, without evidence that confirms where the remote location was, we are unable to confirm a TDY to Thailand. Should the applicant provide evidence such as travel vouchers or TDY orders in support of his appeal, we would be willing to reconsider his request. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.
-

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered Docket Number BC-2012-01145 in Executive Session on 14 Aug 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair
Member
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 15 Mar 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Record
- Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPAPP, dated 24 May 12.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 8 Jun 12.

Panel Chair