

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-01113  
COUNSEL: NONE  
HEARING DESIRED: NO

---

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His reentry (RE) code of 2C which denotes "Involuntarily separated with an honorable discharge" be changed to a 1A which denotes "Ineligible to reenlist, but condition waived," so that he can re-enlist in the military.

---

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He was discharged for academic reasons. He is currently enrolled in a local university and working two jobs. He is highly motivated and has a strong will to serve his country.

In support of his request, the applicant provides copies of his DD Form 214, *Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty*; pay stubs from his places of employment, and his school schedule.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

---

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

On 29 Nov 10, the applicant enlisted in the Regular Air Force for a period of four years.

On 28 Jul 11, the applicant was notified by his squadron commander that he was recommending his discharge from the Air Force for unsatisfactory duty performance, specifically, for failure to progress in a required military training program. The reason for the proposed action was the applicant failed his Block II, Unit 5 tests on two occasions with scores of 50 percent and 57 percent respectively; the minimum passing score was 70 percent.

On 28 Jul 11, the applicant acknowledged receipt of the notification of discharge and waived his right to consult legal counsel and submit statements in his own behalf.

The base legal office found the case legally sufficient to support the separation, and on 5 Aug 11, the discharge authority approved the separation and directed an honorable discharge.

On 26 Aug 11, the applicant was honorably discharged by reason of unsatisfactory performance, and received an RE code of 2C. He served on active duty for a period of 8 months and 28 days.

---

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSOA recommends denial. DPSOA states the applicant's RE code "2C" is correct based on his involuntary separation with an honorable characterization of service. The applicant is requesting a "1A" RE code; however, AFI 36-2606, *Reenlistments in the United States Air Force*, states do not separate members with 1# RE codes except 1J "Eligible to reenlist, but elects separation." The applicant cannot be awarded a 1J RE code as he was not selected or non-selected for reenlistment under the selective reenlistment program by his commander.

Members who are involuntarily separated from the Air Force with an honorable character of service receive an RE code of 2C. If a military recruiter believes he is otherwise eligible a waiver of the RE code 2C would be more appropriate.

The complete DPSOA evaluation is at Exhibit C.

---

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

On 30 May 12, a copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, a response has not been received by this office (Exhibit D).

---

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Should the applicant provide post service evidence, specifically, the grades he has obtained while attending college we would be willing to reconsider his request. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

---

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

---

The following members of the Board considered Docket Number BC-2012-01113 in Executive Session on 11 Dec 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair  
Member  
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 9 Mar 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
- Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSOA, dated 11 May 12.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 30 May 12.

Panel Chair