

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-01019
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

Her 25 Mar and 29 Jun 11 "Unsatisfactory" Fitness Assessment (FA) scores be removed from the Air Force Fitness Management System (AFFMS).

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

She was suffering from severe kidney pain, hematuria (blood in urine) and kidney stones. The pain negatively impacted her ability to maintain the appropriate level of cardio fitness.

In support of her request, the applicant provides copies of extracts from her medical records.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Regular Air Force in the grade of technical sergeant.

Her FAs dated 25 Mar and 29 Jun 11 both reflect an "unsatisfactory" score of 70.89.

The remaining relevant facts pertaining to this application extracted from the applicant's military records are contained in the letter prepared by the appropriate office of the Air Force at Exhibit B.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIM recommends denial. DPSIM states on 16 Apr 12 a memorandum was sent to the applicant requesting copies of her AF Form 469, *Duty Limiting Condition Report* and AF IMT 422, *Notification of Air Force Member's Qualification Status* indicating her limitations/exemptions. DPSIM further states the applicant's fitness assessments were correctly administered.

The complete DPSIM evaluation, with attachments, is at Exhibit B.

On 12 Sep 12, AFPC/DPSIM provided a corrected advisory opinion adding the applicant requests to remove her 29 Jun 11 FA from the AFFMS.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

On 19 Jun 12, a copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant for review and comment within 30 days. (Exhibit C).

On 25 Sep 12, the corrected advisory opinion was forwarded to the applicant for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, a response has not been received by this office (Exhibit E).

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
 2. The application was timely filed.
 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.
-

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered Docket Number BC-2012-01019 in Executive Session on 5 Nov 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair
Member

Member

The following documentary evidence pertaining to Docket Number BC-2012-01019 was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Forms 149, dated 2 Mar 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Letter, AFPC/DPSIM, dated 5 Jun 12, w/atch.
- Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 19 Jun 12.
- Exhibit D. Letter, AFPC/DPSIM, dated 12 Sep 12, w/atch.
- Exhibit E. Letter, SAF/MRBC, dated 25 Sep 12.

Panel Chair