

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00923
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His reentry (RE) code of "2C" (Involuntarily separated with an honorable discharge; or entry level separation without characterization of service) be changed to a RE code 1 series that would allow him to reenlist.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He was discharged because he failed academically. He desires a second chance to complete his full term commitment.

In support of the applicant's appeal, he provides a character reference letter.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant enlisted in the Regular Air Force on 26 April 2011.

On 20 August 2011, the applicant was notified by his commander of his intent to recommend that he be discharged from the Air Force under the provisions of AFPD 36-32 and AFI 36-3208. The specific reason was on or about 3 August 2011, the applicant was academically eliminated from the Information Technology Fundamentals maintenance portion of the cyber transport systems apprentice course as documented on AETC Form 125A. Specifically, he failed the block I and block II tests with scores of 63% and 53%.

He was advised of his rights in this matter and elected not to consult with counsel and elected to waive his right to submit a statement on his own behalf. The discharge authority concurred with the recommendation and directed an entry level separation. The applicant was discharged on 19 August 2011. He served 3 months and 24 days on active duty.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSOA recommends denial. DPSOA states the RE code 2C is required per AFI 36-2606, *Reenlistments in the USAF*, chapter 3, based on his entry level separation with uncharacterized character of service. The RE code 2C applies to all entry level separations without characterizations of service regardless of whether the discharge is voluntary or involuntary.

A waiver by recruiting services if military medical personnel determine applicant meets reentry criteria would be more appropriate than changing applicant's RE code from the correct code of 2C. A waiver of the RE code would identify prior circumstances for screening instead of circumventing aspects of his prior service. The applicant requested a RE code in the 1 series; however, he is not eligible for any 1 RE codes as they do not apply to him.

The DPSOA complete evaluation is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

On 30 May 2012, a copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant for review and response within 30 days (Exhibit D). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice. After a thorough review of the evidence of record, it is our opinion that given the circumstances surrounding his separation from the Air Force, the RE code assigned was proper and in compliance with the appropriate instructions. In addition, the applicant has not provided any evidence which would lead us to believe that a change to his RE code is warranted. Therefore, we agree with the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00923 in Executive Session on 31 July 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

The following documentary evidence pertaining to AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00923 was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 6 March 2011.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records
- Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSOA, dated 20 March 2012.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 12 April 2012.