

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00922  
COUNSEL: NONE  
HEARING DESIRED: NO

---

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His Reentry (RE) code of 2C, which denotes "Involuntarily separated with an honorable discharge; or entry level separation without characterization of service," be changed to allow reentry in the military.

---

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He does not believe there is an error or injustice in regards to his discharge, but he has grown up a lot and wants to serve his country again.

In support of his request the applicant provides a copy of DD Form 214, *Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty*.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

---

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

On 22 Jun 2010, the applicant enlisted in the Regular Air Force.

On 17 Jun 2011, his commander notified him he was recommending his discharge under the provisions of AFI 36-3208, *Administrative Separation of Airmen*. His reason for this action was on 24 Jun 2011, he self-eliminated from the Special Operations Weather Course. In addition, he previously self-eliminated from the Pararescue Apprentice Course, received two Letters of Counseling for not maintaining standards, and he expressed a desire to be discharged.

On 1 Aug 2011, the applicant acknowledged receipt of the discharge notification.

On 9 Aug 2011, the Staff Judge Advocate (SJA) reviewed the case and found it legally sufficient.

On 10 Aug 2011, the discharge authority directed the applicant be discharged for failure to progress in military training

required to be qualified for service with the Air Force or for performance of primary duties.

On 12 Aug 2011, he received a honorable discharge from the Air Force. The narrative reason for separation was "Unsatisfactory Performance." He served 1 year, 1 month and 21 days of total active service.

---

THE AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

HQ AFPC/DPSOA recommends denial. DPSOA states the applicant's RE code is appropriate and is required per AFI 36-2606, *Reenlistments in the USAF*, chapter 5, based on his involuntary discharge with an honorable character of service. He does not provide proof of an error or injustice in reference to his RE code, but is asking for a change of RE code to allow reentry.

He self eliminated from two different career fields and received two counseling's for not maintaining standards. If a military recruiter believes he is otherwise eligible, a waiver of the 2C would be appropriate.

The complete DPSOA evaluation is at Exhibit C.

---

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF THE AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

On 30 Apr 2012, a copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, no response has been received by this office (Exhibit D).

---

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

---

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

---

The following members of the Board considered Docket Number BC-2012-00922 in Executive Session on 14 Aug 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair  
Member  
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 19 Feb 2012, w/atch.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
- Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSOA, dated 9 Apr 2012.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 30 Apr 2012.

Panel Chair