

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00835

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His narrative reason for separation of "Completion of Required Active Service" be changed to "Date of Separation (DOS) rollback involuntarily."

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

In order for him to receive Department of Veterans Affairs (DVA) benefits, his reason for separation must reflect "DOS rollback involuntarily." He was part of the DOS rollback and when he began to inquire about his reason for separation no one understood why he had that reason for separation. He did not realize that his reason for separation was in error or that it was a requirement for the VA.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant enlisted in the Regular Air Force on 1 Sep 09.

On 25 Oct 10, the applicant's commander imposed punishment under Article 15; 1) for wrongfully inhaling the contents of a can of compressed gas duster, commonly referred to as "huffing." His Article 15 punishment was vacated, when on or about 22 Jan 11, he willfully failed to refrain from providing alcohol to a person under the legal drinking age of twenty-one (21) as it was his duty to do.

The applicant was separated under the FY11 Enlisted DOS Rollback Program with a Separation Program Designator (SPD) of "JBK" in accordance with (IAW) Personnel Services Delivery Memorandum (PSDM) 11-11, dated 1 Feb 11.

The applicant's record reflects he was selected for the DOS Rollback Program by his commander for possessing a Reentry Code (RE) of 2X. RE code 2X is for first-term, second-term, or career airman considered but not selected for reenlistment under the Selective Reenlistment Program (SRP).

On 31 May 11, the applicant was honorably discharged under the provisions of AFI 36-3208, *Administrative Separation Of Airmen*, with a narrative reason of completion of required active service, a corresponding SPD code of JBK, and an RE code of 2X. He was credited with one year and nine months of active service.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

HQ AFPC/DPSOS recommends denial, stating, in part, based on the documentation on file in the master personnel records, the discharge to include the narrative reason for separation was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge instruction and was within the discretion of the discharge authority. They found no evidence of an error or injustice in the processing of the applicant's discharge.

In addition, they note that airmen with RE codes 2X (Denied Reenlistment), 3D (Declined PCS Retainability), 3E (Declined Training), 4H (Serving Suspended Punishment Pursuant to Article 15) and 4I (Serving on the Control Roster) were subject to discharge under the rollback program.

The DOS rollback program utilizes the SPD code "JBK" (less than 6 years of active service) or "LBK" (more than six years of active service) with a corresponding narrative reason for separation of "Completion of Required Active Service" since the member is denied further continuation or reenlistment and as in the applicant's case, the *DOS/ETS* may be involuntarily accelerated. The involuntary SPD code of "JBK" or "LBK" (Completion of Required Service) as determined by the Air Force, also relieved members of recoupment obligation for unserved portions of bonuses since the member's term of service was involuntarily accelerated.

The complete AFPC/DPSOS evaluation is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF THE AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 11 May 12 for review and response. As of this date, no response has been received by this office (Exhibit D).

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice

of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00835 in Executive Session on 2 August 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 18 Feb 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
- Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSOS, dated 11 Apr 12.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 11 May 12.

Panel Chair