

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBERS: BC-2012-00675
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: YES

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

Her physical Fitness Assessment (FA), dated 26 May 2011 and 23 August 2011, be removed from the Air Force Fitness Management System (AFFMS).

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

During the time she was administered her FAs, she was undergoing Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) treatment which hindered her performance during both FAs in question.

In support of her appeal, the applicant provides a personal statement, a physician's statement, two letters of support, an AFFMS document, and two qualifications status documents.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently a member of the Regular Air Force serving in the grade of senior master sergeant (E-8). Her FAs for 26 May 2011 and 23 August 2011 both reflect an unsatisfactory fitness level in the AFFMS. The applicant has a subsequent FA, dated 20 October 2011 that reflects a satisfactory fitness level in AFFMS.

The remaining relevant facts, extracted from the applicant's military service record, are contained in the evaluation provided by the Air Force office of primary responsibility at Exhibit B.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AF/A1PP recommends denial. A1PP states that although the applicant was diagnosed with PTSD, there is no clear evidence that this diagnosis prevented her from achieving a passing FA. Additionally, she signed both the Fitness Screening Questionnaire and her FA results acknowledging her scores were accurate. After

reviewing the applicant's test score history from 2005 to 2010, we note she also had two previous FAs in addition to the two FAs in question. Her FAs, dated 26 May 2011 and 23 August 2011 were administered in accordance with Air Force Instruction 36-2905, *Air Force Fitness Program*.

The complete AlPP evaluation, with attachment, is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 25 April 2012, for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit C). As of this date, this office has received no response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
 2. The application was timely filed.
 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.
 4. The applicant's case is adequately documented and it has not been shown that a personal appearance with or without counsel will materially add to our understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the request for a hearing is not favorably considered.
-

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00675 in Executive Session on 9 August 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair
Member
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered in connection with AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00675:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 9 Feb 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Letter, AFPC/DPSIM, dated 26 Mar 12, w/atch.
- Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 25 Apr 12.

Panel Chair