RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00574 COUNSEL: NONE HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His Fitness Assessment (FA) dated 24 January 2012 be removed from his records.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

While the assessment was being performed the count was interrupted by the fitness test advisor. While interrupted, he continued to do the sit-ups which were not counted and resulted in a below passing minimum sit-up score. He retested a week later and passed the maximum score allowance for that category.

In support of his request, the applicant provides a copy of his Report of Individual Fitness, letter from applicant to AACS/CC and a letter from his commander.

His complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the Regular Air Force in the grade of senior airman (E-4).

The applicant's last five FA scores are as follows:

DATE

SCORE

15 May 2009 3 June 2010 5 July 2011 * 24 January 2012 1 February 2012 GOOD GOOD SATISFACTORY UNSATISFACTORY SATISFACTORY

*Contested FA score.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIM recommends denial. DPSIM states on 12 March 2012, a memorandum was sent to the applicant requesting additional documentation. Specifically, a signed memorandum from the FAC test advisor and a copy of the fitness assessment score sheet.

The complete DPSIM evaluation, with attachments, is at Exhibit B.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

On 18 May 2012, a copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant for review and response within 30 days (Exhibit C). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.

2. The application was timely filed.

3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an error or an injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and the recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has failed to sustain his burden of proof of the existence of an error or injustice. Should the applicant provide the requested documentation (i.e. fitness assessment score sheet and a memo from the fitness test advisor) we are willing to reconsider his appeal. However, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application. The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00574 in Executive Session on 9 August 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

The following documentary evidence pertaining to AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00574 was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 3 February 2012, w/atchs Exhibit B. Letter, AFPC/DPSIM, dated 9 May 2012, w/atchs. Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 18 May 2012.