RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00534

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His Narrative Reason for Separation, as reflected on his DD Form 214, Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty, be changed to remove the words "Unsatisfactory Performance."

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

One of his best friends from Basic Training committed suicide and both his grandmother and grandfather died while he was in the Air Force. It was a very traumatic experience; as a result, he failed some tests.

In support of his request, the applicant provides a copy of his DD Form 214.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant entered the Air Force on 9 Nov 10.

On 7 Jun 11, the applicant's commander notified him of her intent to discharge him from the Air Force for unsatisfactory performance. The reason for the action was that he was disenrolled from the Aerospace Propulsion Apprentice Course due to academic failure. The minimum passing score for a block test is 70 percent, however:

- a. On 24 Jan 11, he failed Block 1, Unit 9, Test A, with a score of 60 percent.
- b. On 22 Feb 11, he failed Block 4, Unit 3, Test A, with a score of 60 percent.

- c. On 28 Feb 11, he failed Block 4, Unit 3, Test A, with a score of 55 percent.
- d. On 4 Apr 11, he failed Block 6, Unit 7, Test A, with a score of 45 percent.
- e. Prior to his disenrollment, he washed back and received six hours of special individual assistance and two additional hours of counseling.
- On 7 Jun 11, the applicant acknowledged receipt of the action and waived his right to legal counsel and to submit statements in his behalf.
- On 15 Jun 11, the case was found to be legally sufficient.
- On 21 Jun 11 the discharge authority concurred with the commander's recommendation and directed the applicant's discharge; he was Honorably discharged with a Narrative Reason for Separation of Unsatisfactory Performance, and was credited with 7 months and 13 days of active service.

The remaining relevant facts pertaining to this application are described in the letter prepared by the Air Force office of primary responsibility (OPR) which is attached at Exhibits C.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSOS recommends denial, indicating there is no evidence of an error or injustice. There is no evidence of an error in the processing of the discharge action, and the applicant did not submit any evidence. The discharge was consistent with the substantive requirements of the discharge procedural and instruction and was within the discretion of the discharge authority.

A complete copy of the AFPC/DPSOS evaluation is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 1 May 12 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, no response has been received by this office (Exhibit D).

2

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

- 1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
- 2. The application was timely filed.
- 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case. However, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility (OPR) and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; the applicant was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with the application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00534 in Executive Session on 16 Aug 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair Member Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 16 Jan 12, w/atch.

Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.

Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSOS, dated 23 Apr 12.

Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 1 May 12.

Panel Chair