# RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00533

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

## APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His home of record be changed to Clay Center, Kansas.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He does not believe his home of record is in error or unjust. He was told upon separating, he could change it to his spouse's home of record.

In support of his appeal, the applicant provides mail from his spouse's previous residence, a W-2 and other correspondence.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

## STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving on active duty in the grade of senior airman. He entered the Regular Air Force on 22 January 2007. The applicant's enlistment agreement reflects Lexington, South Carolina as his home of record at the time of enlistment.

# AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIPE recommends denial. The applicant's records reflect South Carolina is the state he lived in prior to entering the Air Force. Additionally, Lexington, South Carolina has been consistently listed throughout the applicant's military records and was listed as his home of record upon enlistment into the Air Force.

The Joint Federal Travel Regulation for Uniformed Service Members appendix states the home of record is the place recorded as the home of the individual when commissioned, appointed, enlisted, inducted or ordered into a tour of active duty.

Therefore, the applicant's home of record should remain South Carolina.

There is no error or justification that warrants the requested change of record.

The complete DPSIPE evaluation, with attachment, is at Exhibit C.

## APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 27 March 2012, for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit D). As of this date, this office has received no response.

## THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

- 1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
- 2. The application was timely filed.
- 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to favorably consider this application.

## THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00533 in Executive Session on 9 August 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

> Panel Chair Member Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 23 Jan 12, w/atchs.

Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records. Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSIPE, dated 13 Mar 12, w/atch.

Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 27 Mar 12.

Panel Chair