RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00531 COUNSEL: NONE HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

Her Reentry (RE) code of 2C, which denotes "Involuntarily separated with an honorable discharge; or entry level separation without characterization of service," be changed to a 1C.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

She now realizes the significance of the Air Force core values and would like to serve and one day retire from the military.

Before she submitted her request she donated time to her community.

In support of her request the applicant provides a personal statement.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

On 23 Nov 2010, the applicant enlisted in the regular Air Force.

On 15 Feb 2011, her commander notified her he was recommending she be discharged under the provisions of AFI 36-3208, Administrative Separation of Airmen. The specific reason for this action is her failure to adapt to the military environment.

On 15 Feb 2011, the applicant acknowledged receipt of the discharge notification and provided a response.

On 28 Feb 2011, she received an entry level separation with an uncharacterized character of service. The narrative reason for separation was "Entry Level Performance and Conduct." She served three months and eight days of total active service.

THE AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

HQ AFPC/DPSOA recommends denial. DPSOA states she received a RE code of 2C based on her entry level separation with uncharacterized service.

She does not provide proof of an error or injustice in reference to her RE code. She states she requests RE code 1C, however there is no such RE code.

The complete DPSOA evaluation is at Exhibit D.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF THE AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

On 12 Apr 2012, a copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant for review and comment within 30 days. To date, a response has not been received (Exhibit C).

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.

2. The application was timely filed.

3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application. The following members of the Board considered Docket Number BC-2012-00531 in Executive Session on 25 Jul 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair Member Member

The following documentary evidence was considered in AFBCMR BC-2012-00531:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 13 Oct 2011, w/atch. Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records. Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSOA, dated 21 Mar 2012. Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 12 Apr 2012.

Panel Chair