RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00411

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

Her 21 Jan 11 Fitness Assessment (FA) be corrected or removed from the Air Force Fitness Management System (AFFMS).

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

She was placed on a profile for asthma which exempted her from the 1.5 mile run. However, during the FA she had to take Albuterol due to an asthma attack. She was not aware of the information reflecting if a service member was taking Albuterol they are exempt from the walk portion of the tests. Exempting the walk portion of the FA her score should have been 81.00.

In support of her request, the applicant provides copies of her AF Form 469, *Duty Limiting Condition Report*, and an excerpt from AFI 36-2905, *Fitness Program*, Attachment 13.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant contracted her initial enlistment in the Regular Air Force on 18 Jul 01.

According to information provided by the applicant, a duty limiting condition report was initiated on 28 Oct 10, which precluded her from running more than 100 yards due to her asthma condition.

On 21 Jan 11, the applicant participated in the contested FA and attained an overall score of 51.20.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

HQ AFPC/DPSIM recommends denial, indicating there is no evidence of an error or injustice. The applicant was asked to provide additional documentation, specifically AF Form 422, Notification of Air Force Member's Qualification Status, reflecting her component exemptions. The applicant did not respond to the request.

The complete AFPC/DPSIM evaluation is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 1 May 12, for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, no response has been received by this office (Exhibit D).

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

- 1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
- 2. The application was timely filed.
- 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and the recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility (OPR) and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. We note the Air Force OPR requested additional documentation from the applicant to help evaluate the merits of her claim; however, she failed to respond. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00411 in Executive Session on 7 Aug 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair Member Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 30 Dec 11, w/atchs.

Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Military Personnel Records. Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSIM, dated 16 Apr 12.

Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 1 May 12.

Panel Chair