RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00367

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NOT INDICATED

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

Her Home of Record (HOR) be changed to El Paso TX.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

She did not realize that Pennsylvania (PA) was still considered her HOR. Her mother lives in PA and she has not lived in PA since entering the Air Force in 1987.

She was not aware that she needed to have her separation documents reflect Texas (TX) as her HOR.

In support of her appeal, the applicant provides a personal statement and a letter from her bank.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The relevant facts pertaining to this application are contained in the letter prepared by the appropriate office of the Air Force. Accordingly, there is no need to recite these facts in this Record of Proceedings.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIPE recommends denial of the applicant's request to change her HOR from Philadelphia, PA to EL Paso, TX. There was no error or justification that warrants a change in her HOR.

The applicant's records reflect Philadelphia, PA as the city/state in which she lived prior to entering the United States Air Force (USAF) enlisted ranks. In addition, Philadelphia, PA has been consistently listed throughout the

member's military records and was listed as her HOR and Place of Entry into the USAF on 15 Jun 1981. Due to this finding, the applicant's HOR is Philadelphia, PA. The Joint Federal Travel Regulations (JFTR) for Uniformed Service Members, Appendix A states that the "Home of Record" is the place recorded as the home of the individual when commissioned, appointed, enlisted, inducted, or ordered into a tour of active duty. Therefore, the applicant's HOR should remain Philadelphia, PA, unless otherwise directed by the board.

The complete DPSIPE evaluation, with attachment, is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

The applicant reviewed the advisory opinion and furnished a response which is attached at Exhibit E.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

- 1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
- 2. The application was not timely filed; however, it is in the interest of justice to excuse the failure to timely file.
- 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. After careful consideration of applicant's request and the available evidence of record, we find insufficient evidence of error or injustice to warrant corrective action. The facts and opinion stated by the Air Force office of primary responsibility appear to be based on the evidence of record and have not been adequately rebutted by the applicant. Absent convincing evidence the applicant has been denied rights to which entitled, appropriate directives were not followed, or appropriate standards were not applied, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00367 in Executive Session on 2 August 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 23 Jan 12, w/atchs.

Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.

Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSIPE, dated 6 May 12, w/atch.

Exhibit D. Letter, AFBCMR, dated 11 May 12.

Exhibit E. Letter, Applicant, dated 11 Jun 12.

Panel Chair