

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00348  
COUNSEL: NONE  
HEARING DESIRED: NO

---

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His reentry (RE) code of "2X" (*First-term, second-term, or career airman considered but not selected for reenlistment under SRP*) be changed to allow him to enlist in any branch of the Armed Forces.

---

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He wishes to serve his country in the Naval Reserves and feels that his prior service as a security forces member can be beneficial.

He does not provide any supporting documentation.

His complete submission is at Exhibit A.

---

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant enlisted into the Regular Air Force on 15 Jul 08. The applicant's narrative reason for separation reflects "completion of required active service" with an RE code of "2X". He received an honorable discharge after serving 2 years, 10 months, and 16 days on active duty.

The applicant's AF IMT 418, *Selective Reenlistment Program Consideration*, reflects that on 9 Feb 10 his supervisor and unit commander did not recommend him for reenlistment. The applicant acknowledged receipt and declined to appeal the reenlistment recommendation decision.

---

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSOA recommends denial stating that the applicant's discharge was under the Air Force FY11 Force Shaping Rollback Program and he does not provide any evidence of an error or injustice that warrants changing his RE code.

The complete DPSOA evaluation is at Exhibit B.

---

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 27 Mar 12 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, this office has received no response.

---

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
  2. The application was timely filed.
  3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.
- 

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

---

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00348 in Executive Session on 9 Aug 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair  
Member  
Member

The following documentary evidence for Docket Number BC-2012-00348 was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 28 Jan 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
- Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSOA, dated 8 Mar 12.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 27 Mar 12.

Panel Chair