

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBERS: BC-2012-00255
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His Fitness Assessment (FA), dated 14 December 2011, be removed from the Air Force Fitness Management System (AFFMS).

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

Due to medical complications regarding migraine headaches and dizziness, he was prescribed Baclofen, Fioricet, Fexofenadine and Celebrex. Fioricet and Celebrex carry Food and Drug Administration (FDA) warnings for cardiovascular thrombotic events to include heart palpitations, tachycardia, and elevated pulse. He was oblivious to the effects these drugs have on V02 (measure of cardio-respiratory fitness) standards prior to his Primary Care Manager (PCM) placing him on a one mile walk profile. Prior to his FA, his resting heart rate was 141, and during his test, his heart rate fluctuated between 80 and 178.

In support of his appeal, the applicant provides copies of two physician's statements.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently a member of the Regular Air Force serving in the grade of staff sergeant (E-5).

On 15 February 2012, AFPC/DPSIMC wrote to the applicant requesting documentation to substantiate his claim, specifically, documentation from his medical provider indicating the name and type of medication he was taking as well as an Air Force IMT 422, *Physical Profile Serial Report*, exempting him from the cardio (1.5 mile run/1 mile walk) portion of the FA.

The remaining relevant facts, extracted from the applicant's military service record, are contained in the evaluation provided by the Air Force office of primary responsibility at Exhibit C.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIM recommends denial. DPSIM states the applicant has not responded to their request for copies of documentation from his medical provider and an Air Force IMT 422 exempting him from the cardio portion of the FA. Therefore, they must recommend his request be denied.

The complete DPSIM evaluation, with attachment, is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 1 May 2012, for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit D). As of this date, this office has received no response.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
 2. The application was timely filed.
 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.
-

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00255 in Executive Session on 30 Augsut 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair
Member
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered in connection with AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00255:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 12 Jan 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
- Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSIMC, dated 16 Apr 12, w/atc.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 1 May 12.

Panel Chair