RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00193

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His Reenlistment Code of "2X" " 1^{ST} -Term, 2^{ND} -Term or Career Amn Not Selected Under Selective Reenlistment Program (SRP)" be changed.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He was put on the list to be discharged on the DOS Rollback Program. His options were to accept an honorable discharge and retain all benefits or appeal at the risk of being administratively discharged.

In support of his request, the applicant provides a copy of his DD Form 214, Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty.

The applicant's complete submission with attachment is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is a former member of the Regular Air Force who served from 12 August 2008 through 31 May 2011. He was trained and served as an Aircraft Hydraulics System Journeyman and was progressively promoted to the rank of Airman First Class (A1C), (E-3), with a Date of Rank and Effective Date of Pay Grade of 26 September 2008.

On 11 February 2011, the applicant's supervisor non-recommended him for reenlistment under the Selective Reenlistment Program (SRP) based on a pattern of behavior inconsistent with Air Force Standards and the commander nod-selected him for reenlistment. The applicant did not appeal the action.

The applicant was discharged with an honorable character of service, was issued an RE code of "2X - 1^{ST} -Term, 2^{ND} -Term or Career Amn Not Selected Under SRP," and credited with serving 2 years, 9 months and 19 days of active duty service.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSOA recommends denial. DPSOA states the applicant's RE code 2X is required per AFI 36-2606, Reenlistments in the USAF. The AFI states, commanders have selective reenlistment selection or non-selection authority. The Selective Reenlistment Program considers the members Enlisted Performance Report (EPR) ratings, information from any substantiated source, airman's willingness to comply with Air Force standards and/or the airman's ability (or lack of) to meet required training and duty performance levels. The applicant's supervisor nonrecommended him for reenlistment and his commander non-selected him an AF IMT 418, Selective Reenlistment Consideration, on 11 February 2011. The applicant acknowledged his non-selection and rendered his intent not to appeal on 11 February 2011. The applicant's non-selection was a result of his own actions as annotated on his IMT 418. Members do not have the choice to accept or decline being separated under the DOS Rollback guidance.

The applicant did not provide any evidence of error or injustice that would warrant change of his RE code.

The complete AFPC/DPSOA evaluation is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 2 December 2011 for review and comment within 30 days. To date, this office has not received a response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

- 1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
- 2. The application was not timely filed; however, it is in the interest of justice to excuse the failure to timely file.
- 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the

absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered this application BC-2012-00193 in Executive Session on 24 July 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair Member Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149 dated 5 January 2012, w/atchs.

Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.

Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSOA, dated 7 March 2012.

Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 27 March 2012.

Panel Chair