RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00165

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

Her reentry (RE) code of 2X (First-term, second-term, or career airman considered but not selected for reenlistment under the Selective Reenlistment Program (SRP)) be changed to 1M (Eligible to reenlist, second-term or career airmen not yet considered under the SRP), or to 1R (First-term airman selected under the SRP) to allow her reenlistment in the Armed Forces.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

Her RE code is unjust because she was involuntarily separated under the FY12 Enlisted DOS Rollback Program in Mar 12 and the Control Roster that flagged her to be separated would have been removed in Apr 12.

In support of the appeal, the applicant provides copies of a response to her discharge notification and numerous letters of support requesting she be retained in the Air Force.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant enlisted in the Regular Air Force as an airman basic on 13 Apr 10 for a term of four years.

On 7 Jun 11, the applicant's commander non-selected her for reenlistment, citing that on 7 Jun 11, she was arrested/found guilty of theft in Valdosta, GA and recommended her for the DOS Rollback Program. An Unfavorable Information File (UIF) was established on the applicant and her name was placed on the Control Roster. On 22 Nov 11, the applicant submitted an appeal of her nonselection for reenlistment and, on 10 Jan 12, her appeal was denied.

On 31 Mar 12, the applicant was honorably discharged under the provisions of AFI 36-3208, Administrative Separation of Airmen, for Completion of Required Service. She received an RE code of 2X and was credited with 1 year, 11 months, and 18 days of total active service.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSOA recommends denial. The applicant was projected for separation under the FY12 Enlisted DOS Rollback Program on 1 Mar 12. However, she was separated because her commander nonselected her for reenlistment on an AF Form 418, *Selective Reenlistment Program Consideration*.

In accordance with AFI 36-2606, *Reenlistment in the USAF*, commanders have selective reenlistment selection or non-selection authority. The SRP considers the members enlisted performance report (EPR) ratings, UIF from a substantiated source, the airman's willingness to comply with Air Force standards, and the airman's ability, or lack of, to meet required training and duty performance levels.

While the applicant states her control roster would have expired in Apr 12 and it was not fair to give her a RE code of 2X, the 2X RE code is due to her nonselection for reenlistment. She does not provide any proof of an error or injustice in reference to her RE code.

The complete AFPC/DPSOA evaluation is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATIONS:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 8 Mar 12 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, no response has been received by this office (Exhibit D).

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.

2. The application was timely filed.

3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinions and recommendations of the Air Force offices of primary responsibility and adopt their rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or

injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00165 in Executive Session on 3 May 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair Member Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A.	DD Form 149, dated 19 Jan 12, w/atchs.
Exhibit B.	Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
Exhibit C.	Letter, AFPC/DPSOA, dated 27 Feb 12.
Exhibit D.	Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 8 Mar 12.

Panel Chair