

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00164
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

1. Her AF Form 3538, *Retention Recommendation Form (RRF)*, which met the 19 Sep 11 L0311E Reduction in Force (RIF) board be revised to include two changes:

a. Her duty title should have been "Current Flight Operations Flight CC and T6 IP" instead of "Wing Programmer and T-6 Instructor Pilot" - **administratively corrected.**

b. The push date, in Section III of the RRF, for in-residence PDE be removed from the RRF.

2. She be considered by the Special Selection Board (SSB) for the L0311E (9 Sep 11) Reduction-in-Force Board - **administratively corrected.**

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

She had completed in-residence PDE prior to the 19 Sep 11 board, which then made the push line on the RRF inaccurate.

In support of her appeal, the applicant provides copies of her Active Duty Officer DVB, a certificate of showing she graduated Squadron Officer School in residence on 26 Aug 11, the letter of notification of her non-retention, a copy of her AF Form 3538, with attachments.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant graduated from Undergraduate Pilot Training (UPT) on 4 Nov 05 and graduated from her follow-on pilot training on 11 Aug 06. She received an AF Form 3538 Retention Recommendation Form (RRF) in conjunction with the 19 Sep 11 (L0311E) Reduction in Force (RIF) Board, which she met and was not selected for retention in the active duty Air Force.

As a result of her non-selection for retention, the Secretary of the Air Force directed her mandatory date of separation (MSD) be established as 1 Mar 12.

AFPC/DPS00 granted the applicant's request for SSB consideration to include her duty title as corrected to "Current Operations Flight CC and T-6 Instructor Pilot."

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSID found in the applicant's favor with regard to her contention the duty title on the RRF was not correct. DPSID has since administratively corrected the duty title on the RRF to the correct title and has ordered that she meet an SSB.

With regard to her contention that the Professional Military Education (PME) push line does not show that she completed PDE prior to the RIF Board convening date, DPSID states the senior rater who completed the RRF included accurate information at the time he signed the RRF.

If the applicant requires a change to her PDE push line, she must provide the completed signed substitute RRF with all needed documentation justifying the request. As she has failed to provide a substitute RRF containing the senior rater's intent to change the contested push line, DPSID recommends denial of her request.

DPSID's complete evaluation is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 30 May 12 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its

rationale as the basis for our conclusion that relief beyond that already granted administratively is not warranted. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00164 in Executive Session on 16 Oct 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair
Member
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 23 Jan 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
- Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSID, dated 17 Apr 12.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 30 May 12.

Panel Chair