## RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00100

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

#### APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His DD Form 214, Armed Forces of the United States Report of Transfer or Discharge, be corrected to reflect his foreign service in Vietnam.

#### APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

His DD Form 214 does not reflect his service in South Vietnam from November 1964 to February 1965.

In support of his request, the applicant provides a copy of his DD Form 214.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

## STATEMENT OF FACTS:

On 25 Sep 61, the applicant contracted his enlistment in the Regular Air Force.

The applicant's AF Form 7, Airman Military Record, reflects the applicant was on temporary duty (TDY) for 99 days, but does not specify a location.

On 24 Sep 65, the applicant was honorably discharged and credited with four years of total active service, three months and nine days of which was credited as foreign service.

### AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

HQ AFPC/DPAPP recommends denial noting a review of the applicant's master personnel records and the documentation he provided does not substantiate he had foreign service time in Vietnam.

The complete AFPC/DPAPP evaluation is at Exhibit C.

#### APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

He served in DaNang, South Vietnam with the 4080th Armament and Electronics Maintenance Squadron. His assignment was classified top secret and may still be classified. Although, he received an Air Force Commendation Medal (AFCM) it does not reflect the location for the service. He has no records in his possession that will substantiate he served in Vietnam. However, after his release from active duty he received a Vietnam bonus from the State of Connecticut.

The applicant's complete response, with attachments, is at Exhibit E.

#### THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.

2. The application was not timely filed; however, it is in the interest of justice to excuse the failure to timely file.

Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented 3. to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. After a thorough review of the applicant's complete submission and the evidence of record we found it insufficient to conclude that he served or was stationed in Vietnam. Therefore, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Without official documentation such as TDY orders or vouchers, his eligibility for service credit for Vietnam service cannot be verified. However, should the applicant provide documentation substantiating his service in Vietnam, we would be willing to review the materials for possible reconsideration. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

# THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00100 in Executive Session on 7 Aug 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair Member Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 9 Jan 12, w/atch.Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Military Personnel Records.Exhibit C. Letter, HQ AFPC/DPAPP, dated 20 Mar 12.Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 26 Mar 12.Exhibit E. Letter, Applicant, dated 3 Apr 12, w/atchs.

Panel Chair