

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00084

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

He be allowed to meet a Supplemental Reduction in Force (RIF) Board.

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He would like the CY11 RIF Board to reconsider their decision to not select him for the RIF. He was not aware that he could write a letter to the board to request he be selected for separation. His personal and professional desire is to leave active duty and explore his options in the civilian sector. However, leaving with a financial separation package would mitigate the risk. By reconsidering him for the RIF, the Air Force could potentially minimize the number of officers who desire to stay on active duty that involuntarily lost their jobs.

In support of his appeal, the applicant submits a personal statement.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is active duty serving in the grade of major.

The relevant facts pertaining to this application are described in the letter prepared by the Air Force office of primary responsibility which is included in Exhibit B.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSOO recommends denial. The applicant met and was selected for retention by the L0411B, 19 September 2011, RIF

Board. The results were based on a complete review of the applicant's entire selection record, assessing the whole person factors such as job performance and responsibility, depth and breadth of experience, leadership, academic and professional military education and distinction when rendering their decision. The board reviewed the applicant's record and selected him to be retained on active duty.

The applicant contends he was not aware he could write a letter to the board. However, the PSDM 11-21, was provided to eligible officers with instructions attached. It clearly states that officers meeting the board have the option to submit a letter to the board president addressing any matter of record concerning them that they believe warrants consideration.

The applicant stated, in a separate e-mail, that he received the instructions and acknowledged that attachment 8 of the PDSM addresses writing letters to the board. The applicant should have taken the opportunity to address the RIF board prior to its convening, not after the results were released.

The complete DPSOO evaluation, with attachments, is at Exhibit B.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 10 February 2012, for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit C). As of this date, this office has received no response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission and the available evidence of record in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00084 in Executive Session on 19 June 2012 under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair
Member
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 30 Aug 11, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Letter, AFPC/DPSOO, dated 30 Jan 12, w/atchs.
- Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 10 Feb 12.

Panel Chair