

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2012-00052  
COUNSEL: NONE  
HEARING DESIRED: NO

---

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His current rank of airman (E-2) be corrected to airman first class (E-3).

---

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

When he signed his enlistment paperwork it mentioned that if his transcripts were entered prior to his six month mark, he would currently be serving in the grade of airman first class. His transcripts are a part of his enlistment contract. He attempted to have this problem fixed while attending technical school; however, nothing was accomplished.

The applicant does not provide any supporting documentation.

His complete submission is at Exhibit A.

---

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently serving in the grade of airman in the Air Force Reserve.

The relevant facts pertaining to this application are contained in the letter prepared by the appropriate office of the Air Force. Accordingly, there is no need to recite these facts in this Record of Proceedings.

---

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFRC/RSOO recommends denial. The applicant has not provided any documentation supporting his request to be awarded the advanced rank of airman first class. Furthermore, there is no record of the applicant presenting qualifying educational transcripts for promotion eligibility before attending Basic Military Training (BMT), or prior to BMT graduation, as required. In addition, as part of the enlistment contract, enlistees initial a statement

acknowledging they may be entitled to advanced rank, but are choosing to enlist as an E-1 (sometimes new members are unable to retrieve transcripts due to unpaid college expenses, other documentation entitling them to advanced rank (e.g. Eagle Scout), or their priority is leaving the area quickly for BMT).

The complete AFRC/RSOO evaluation is at Exhibit B.

---

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 6 Mar 12 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, this office has received no response.

---

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
  2. The application was timely filed.
  3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force Reserve Command office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.
- 

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

---

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00052 in Executive Session on 19 Jun 12, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair  
Member  
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 3 Dec 11.
- Exhibit B. Letter, AFRC/A1K, dated 19 Jan 12.
- Exhibit C. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 6 Mar 12.

Panel Chair