

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBERS: BC-2012-00046
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

Her Fitness Assessments (FA) dated 29 March 2010 and 18 December 2009 be removed from the Air Force Fitness Management System (AFFMS).

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

She was misdiagnosed with Patellofemoral Syndrome and "treated without relief." The chronic pain prevented her from being able to perform specific tasks and limited her range of motion during her FA. In February 2011, she was diagnosed with Rheumatoid Arthritis confirming the underlying cause of her pain. She is currently under the care of a Rheumatologist to pursue the best treatment for diagnosis.

In support of her appeal, the applicant provides a copy of a letter from her Family Practice physician.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The applicant is currently a member of the Regular Air Force serving in the grade of staff sergeant (E-5).

On 13 February 2012, AFPC/DPSIMC wrote to the applicant requesting documentation to substantiate her claim, specifically, the Duty Limiting Condition (DLC) 469 Report and AF IMT 422, *Physical Profile Serial Report*, stating what components she was not cleared to perform. In addition, they requested her SF Form 108, *Physical Fitness Education and Intervention Processing*, documented by her local Military Treatment Facility (MTF), indicating her medical condition contributed to her FA failure.

The remaining relevant facts, extracted from the applicant's military service record, are contained in the evaluation provided by the Air Force office of primary responsibility at Exhibit C.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIM recommends denial. DPSIM states the applicant has not responded to their request for copies of her Duty Limiting Condition (DLC) 469 Report, AF IMT 422 stating which components she was cleared to perform, as well as her AF Form 108, documented by her local MTF that her medical condition contributed to her FA failure. Therefore, they must recommend her request be denied.

The complete DPSIM evaluation, with attachment, is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 10 April 2012, for review and comment within 30 days (Exhibit D). As of this date, this office has received no response.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
 2. The application was timely filed.
 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.
-

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00046 in Executive Session on 24 July 2012, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair
Member
Member

The following documentary evidence was considered in connection with AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2012-00046:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 14 Dec 11, w/atck.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
- Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSIM, dated 30 Mar 12, w/atck.
- Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 10 Apr 12.

Panel Chair