# RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2010-03965

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

\_\_\_\_\_

## THE APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His reenlistment contract be changed from a 6 year to a 4 year enlistment.

\_\_\_\_\_

## THE APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

He was advised in order to get the maximum selective reenlistment bonus (SRB) for his career field; he would need to reenlist for six years to receive the \$90,000.00 bonus after taxes. However, once he received his first payment, which was less than what he was told, he inquired again and was advised by a different counselor that he only needed to reenlist for 4 years to receive the maximum bonus.

He has made several requests to have his reenlistment contract changed; to no avail.

In support of his appeal, the applicant provides a copy a letter from the force support squadron, dated 15 Oct 10 and a copy of his enlistment contract.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

## STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The relevant facts pertaining to this application, extracted from the applicant's military records, are contained in the letter prepared by the appropriate office of the Air Force.

#### THE AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSOA recommends denial, stating, in part, the applicant reenlisted on 29 Sep 08 for 6 years with entitlement to a zone C

multiple 7.0 SRB. He received the maximum SRB payment of \$90,000.00 minus taxes. If the applicant had reenlisted for 4 years, he would have received \$5000.00 to \$6,000.00 short of the maximum of \$90,000.00; finance determines the exact amount of SRB payment.

The complete AFPC/DPSOAA evaluation is at Exhibit C.

# APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF THE AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to the applicant on 14 January 2011 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, no response has been received by this office (Exhibit D).

## THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

- 1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
- 2. The application was timely filed.
- 3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice. We took notice of the applicant's complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt their rationale as the basis for our conclusion that the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

# THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2010-03965 in Executive Session on 7 July 2011, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 18 Oct 10, w/atchs. Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records. Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSOA, dated 21 Dec 10. Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 14 Jan 11.

Panel Chair