

ADDENDUM TO
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-1989-00782
COUNSEL: NONE
HEARING DESIRED: NO

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His undesirable discharge be upgraded to a general (under honorable conditions) discharge or an honorable discharge.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

On 31 May 85, the Board considered and denied an application pertaining to the applicant, in which he requested his discharge be changed to a general (under honorable conditions) discharge or an honorable discharge. For an accounting of the facts and circumstances surrounding the applicant's request, and the rationale of the earlier decision of the Board, see the Record of Proceedings, with attachments, at Exhibit F.

By application, dated 7 Oct 10, the applicant requests reconsideration of his request, contending his discharge was inequitable because it was based on one incident and the fact that it was 47 years ago.

In support of the appeal, the applicant submits an expanded statement, an application for disability insurance benefits, Social Security Administration correspondence, and extracts from his master personnel records.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit G.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

We have thoroughly reviewed the evidence of record and considered the weight and relevance of the additional documentation provided by the applicant, and whether or not it was discoverable at the time of any previous application. Additionally, we have considered the applicant's overall quality of service, the events which precipitated his discharge and the available evidence related to post-service activities and accomplishments. However, based on the evidence of record, the Board majority concludes that clemency is not warranted and notes the applicant has not provided sufficient information of post-service activities and

accomplishments. Should the applicant provide statements from community leaders and acquaintances attesting to his good character and reputation and other evidence of successful post-service rehabilitation, this Board will reconsider this case based on the new evidence. Therefore, in view of the above and in the absence of relevant evidence, the Board majority finds no basis to reconsider the applicant's request.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the additional evidence presented did not meet the criteria for reconsideration by the Board; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered the applicant's request for reconsideration of AFBCMR Docket Number 1989-00782 in Executive Session on 9 March 2011, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Panel Chair
Member
Member

By majority vote, the Board voted to deny the application. XXXX voted to grant the request but does not desire to submit a Minority Report. The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit F. Record of Proceedings, dated 14 Aug 89, w/atchs.
- Exhibit G. DD Form 149, dated 7 Oct 10, w/atchs.

Panel Chair