RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2009-01716

XXXXXXX COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

Her Air Force (AF) Form 910, *Enlisted Performance Report (AB thru TSgt)*, rendered for the period 20 Feb 07 through 19 Jun 08, be corrected to indicate “Clearly Exceeds” in Block 2, Standards, Conduct, Character & Military Bearing.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

The Enlisted Performance Report (EPR) is incorrectly marked as “Meets” standards under Block 2. The EPR clearly shows she is an outstanding Airman who has volunteered for numerous important events including assisting Protocol with the set-up for two Lieutenant Generals, resulting in her being recognized by 9 AF/CC and HQ USAF/A2.

In support of her request, the applicant provides a copy of the contested report.

The applicant’s complete submission, with attachment, is at Exhibit A.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

Information extracted from the Military Personnel Data System (MilPDS) indicates the applicant enlisted in the Regular Air Force on 20 Feb 07 as an airman basic (E-1) and has continually served on active duty. She is currently serving in the grade of airman first class (E-3), effective and with a date of rank of 20 Jun 08.

On 25 Jun 08, the applicant was furnished the contested EPR for the period 20 Feb 07 through 19 Jun 08, with an overall evaluation of “5.”

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AFPC/DPSIDEP recommends denial, indicating there is no evidence the report is inaccurate or unjust. While the comments cited are commendable, there are a lot of other areas to consider. Only the evaluators know why they gave her the rating they did and the applicant has failed to provide any documentation to support her assertions, even after it was specifically requested.

A complete copy of the AFPC/DPSIDEP evaluation is at exhibit C.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

A copy of the Air Force evaluation was forwarded to applicant on 9 Oct 09 for review and response within 30 days. As of this date, no response has been received by this office (Exhibit D).

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1.  The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.

2.  The application was timely filed.

3.  Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of error or injustice warranting a change to the applicant’s EPR closing 19 Jun 08. We took notice of the applicant’s complete submission in judging the merits of the case; however, we agree with the opinion and recommendation of the Air Force office of primary responsibility and adopt its rationale as the basis for our conclusion the applicant has not been the victim of an error or injustice. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we find no compelling basis to recommend granting the relief sought in this application.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2009-01716 in Executive Session on 3 Dec 09, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

XXXXXXXXXX, Panel Chair

XXXXXXXXXX, Member

XXXXXXXXXX, Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 18 Aug 08, w/atch.

Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.

Exhibit C. Letter, AFPC/DPSIDEP, dated 25 Sep 09.

Exhibit D. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 9 Oct 09.

XXXXXXXXXX

Panel Chair