RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: 98-02776

COUNSEL: NONE

FEB 25 1999

HEARING DESIRED: NO

Applicant requests that his general '(under honorable conditions) discharge be upgraded to honorable. Applicant's submission is at Exhibit A.

The appropriate Air Force office evaluated applicant's request and provided an advisory opinion to the Board recommending the application be denied (Exhibit C). The advisory opinion was forwarded to the applicant for review and response (Exhibit D). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

After careful consideration of applicant's request and the available evidence of record, we find insufficient evidence of error or injustice to warrant corrective action. The facts and opinions stated in the advisory opinion appear to be based on the evidence of record and have not been rebutted by applicant. Absent persuasive evidence applicant was denied rights to which entitled, appropriate regulations were not followed, or appropriate standards were not applied, we find no basis to disturb the existing record.

Accordingly, applicant's request is denied.

The 'Board staff is directed to inform applicant of this decision. Applicant should also be informed that this decision is final and will only be reconsidered upon the presentation of new relevant evidence which was not reasonably available at the time the application was filed.

Members of the Board Mrs. Barbara A. Westgate, Mr. Kenneth L. Reinertson, and Mr. Henry Romo, Jr., considered this application on 28 Jan 99 in accordance with the provisions of Air Force Instruction 36-2603 and the governing statute, 10 U.S.C. 1552.

BARBARA A. WESTGATE Panel Chair

Exhibits:

- A. Applicant's DD Form 149
- B. Available Master Personnel Records
- C. Advisory Opinion
- D. SAF/MIBR Ltr Forwarding Advisory Opinion



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE PERSONNELCENTER RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS

NOV 1 8 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR AFBCMR

FROM: HQ AFPC/DPPRS

550 C Street West Ste 11
Randolph AFB TX 78150-4713

SUBJECT: Application for Correction of Military Record

The applicant, while serving in the grade of airman second class, was discharged from the Air Force 22 Sep 64 under the provisions of **AFR** 39-16 (Unsuitability-Apathy, defective attitude Evaluation Officer Hearing) with an under honorable conditions (general) discharge. He served 02 years 03 months and 17 days total active service.

Requested Action. The applicant is requesting an upgrade of his discharge to honorable.

Basis for Reauest. Applicant claims he was not kicked out of the Air Force, he requested release for non-compliance of promises made at high school recruiting session. Applicant makes allegation concerning being made many false promises from his recruiter concerning basic training, etc.

Facts. On 14 Sep 64, applicant was notified by his commander that involuntary discharge action had been initiated against him with a view to effecting his discharge based on his apathy, defective attitude and inability to expand effort constructively. Commander indicated that it was very clearly evidenced that he lack potential value to the Air Force. Applicant was given a Letter of Admonishment for operating a government vehicle on base in a reckless and irresponsible manner. In addition, he was given a personal counseling as a result of failing his proficiency test for upgrading. The reason for failure appeared to be poor attitude toward his self study. Commander advised that applicant had wrote him a letter, in which he, among other things, stated he wanted out of the Air Force, hated his assignment, was depressed, and would probably go crazy if retained at his current base. As a result of his defective attitude and the letter to the commander, applicant was sent to the base hospital where it was determined that he was unfit for military service and administrative discharge action should be taken. An Evaluation Officer was duly appointed an interviewed the applicant and made a recommendation that the applicant should be given an administrative separation for unsuitability and be furnished a general discharge. Applicant was afforded the opportunity to submit statements in rebuttal to the action being recommended, but he declined to do so. The case was reviewed by the base legal office and found to legally sufficient to support discharge. The discharge authority approved the recommendation for discharge on 22 Sep 64 and directed that the applicant be furnished a general discharge certificate.

9802776

<u>Discussion</u>. This case has been reviewed for separation processing and there are no errors or irregularities causing an injustice to the applicant. The discharge complies with directives in effect at the time of his discharge. The records indicate member's military service was reviewed and appropriate action was taken.

<u>Recommendation.</u> Applicant did not identify any specific errors in the discharge processing nor provide facts which warrant an upgrade of the discharge he received. Accordingly, we recommend applicant's request be denied. He has not filed a timely request.

JOHN C. WOOTEN, DAF Military Personnel Mgmt Spec Separations Branch Dir of Personnel Program Management



980277b