RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

i

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO: 98-01833 FEB 1 2 1999

COUNSEL: None

HEARING DESIRED: No

Applicant requests his uncharacterized, entry level separation be changed to an honorable discharge. Applicant's submission is at Exhibit A.

The appropriate Air Force office evaluated applicant's request and provided an advisory opinion to the Board recommending the application be denied (Exhibit C). The advisory opinion was forwarded to the applicant for review and response (Exhibit D). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

After careful consideration of applicant's request and the available evidence of record, we find insufficient evidence of error or injustice to warrant corrective action. The facts and opinions stated in the advisory opinion appear to be based on the evidence of record and have not been rebutted by applicant. Absent persuasive evidence applicant was denied rights to which entitled, appropriate regulations were not followed, or appropriate standards were not applied, we find no basis to disturb the existing record.

Accordingly, applicant's request is denied.

The Board staff is directed to inform applicant of this decision. Applicant should also be informed that this decision is final and will only be reconsidered upon the presentation of new relevant evidence which was not reasonably available at the time the application was filed.

Members of the Board Ms. Martha Maust, Mr. Frederick A. Beaman III, and Ms. Patricia D. Vestal considered this application on 15 December 1998 in accordance with the provisions of Air Force Instruction 36-2603, and the governing statute, 10, U.S.C. 1552.

Martha Maust

Exhibits:

- A. Applicant's DD Form 149
- B. Available Master Personnel Records
- C. Advisory Opinion
- D. AFBCMR Ltr Forwarding Advisory Opinion



JUL 27 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR AFBCMR

FROM: HQ AFPC/DPPRS 550 C Street West Ste 11 Randolph AFB TX 78150-4713

SUBJECT: Application for Correction of Military Records

The applicant, while serving in the grade of airman basic, was separated from the Air Force 07 May 98 under the provisions of **AFI 36-3208** (Entry level Performance and Conduct) with **an** uncharacterized discharge. He served **04** months **and** 09 days total active service.

<u>Reauested Action</u>. The applicant is requesting his entry level separation be changed to under honorable conditions.

<u>Basis for Request.</u> Applicant does not claim an injustice in the character of discharge he received.

<u>Facts.</u> The applicant was notified by his commander on **20** Apr 98 that discharge action had been initiated against him for unsatisfactory entry level performance and conduct. The commander indicated his action was being recommended because the applicant had received a Letter of Counseling for failure to show up for a mandatory formation; received a Letter of Reprimand for his failure to show for a mandatory formation for the second time, and finally, he received an *Att* **15** for stealing a package of processed file and an adult magazine from the Base Exchange. The commander advised applicant that if his recommendation is approved, that his discharge would be described as entry level separation and that he would be ineligible for reenlistment in the Air Force. He was advised he had a right to consult counsel and the right to submit statements in his own behalf. He waived his right to consulted counsel and to submitted a statements in his own behalf On 27 Apr 98, the discharge authority approved the Entry Level Separation. Airmen are given entry level separation/uncharacterized service characterization when separation action is initiated against them in the first 180 days of continuous active service.

<u>Discussion</u>. This case has been reviewed for separation processing and there are no errors or irregularities causing an injustice to the applicant. The discharge complies with directives in effect at the time of his discharge. The records indicate member's military service was reviewed and appropriate action was taken.

9801833

<u>Recommendation</u>. Applicant did not identify any specific errors in the discharge processing nor provide facts which warrant a change in the discharge he received. Accordingly, we recommend applicant's request be denied. He has filed a timely request.

JOHN C. WOOTEN, DAF

Military Personnel Mgmt Spec Separations Branch Dir of Personnel Program Management

-

cc SAF/MIBR

an an an an

9801833