
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

FEB 2 4 1999

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO: 98-01484



COUNSEL

HEARING DESIRED: No

Applicant requests medical retirement. Applicant's submission is at Exhibit A.

The appropriate Air Force office evaluated applicant's request and provided an advisory opinion to the Board recommending the application be denied (Exhibit C). The advisory opinion was forwarded to the applicant for review and response (Exhibit D). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

After careful consideration of applicant's request and the available evidence of record, we find insufficient evidence of error or injustice to warrant corrective action. The facts and opinions stated in the advisory opinion appear to be based on the evidence of record and have not been rebutted by applicant. Absent persuasive evidence applicant was denied rights to which entitled, appropriate regulations were not followed, or appropriate standards were not applied, we find no basis to disturb the existing record.

Accordingly, applicant's request is denied.

The Board staff is directed to inform applicant of this decision. Applicant should also be informed that this decision is final and will only be reconsidered upon the presentation of new relevant evidence which was not reasonably available at the time the application was filed.

Members of the Board Ms. Martha Maust, Mr. Frederick A. Beaman III, and Ms. Patricia D. Vestal considered this application on 15 December 1998 in accordance with the provisions-of Air Force Instruction 36-2603, and the governing statute, 10, U.S.C. 1552.

Martha Maust

Exhibits:

- A. Applicant's DD Form 149
- B. Available Master Personnel Records
- C. Advisory Opinion
- D. AFBCMR Ltr Forwarding Advisory Opinion



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE RESERVE COMMAND

MEMORANDUM FOR SAF/MIBR

9 July, 1998

FROM: HQ AFRC/SGPA

1552nd Street

Robins AFB GA 31098-1635

SUBJECT: AFBÇMR

1. Approval of subject individual's request is not recommended.

- 2. The letter from subject individual's private physician dated 3 May 98 indicates that individual's medical condition, Arteriosclerotic Coronary Artery Disease (ASCAD) was aggravated by an argument between member and his then unit commander. That he became symptomatic is not in dispute, however, there is no indication that there was any worsening of the member's ASCAD nor was there any documented myocardial damage. Hence with no permanent and irreversible damage secondary to the arguments, member's ASCAD remains EPTS LOD N/A.
- 3. In conclusion, I do not concur with the conclusions made by subject individual's civilian physician. The appropriate actions were taken by the Air Force Reserve in subject member's case and he is not entitled to disability processing.

ALAN B. BERG, Lt Col, USAF, MC, SFS Chief, Aerospace Medicine Branch Directorate, Health Services

9801484