RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORD FEB 191999

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO: 98-01419

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

Applicant requests that his general discharge be upgraded to an honorable discharge. Applicant's submission is at Exhibit A.

The appropriate Air Force office evaluated applicant's request and provided an advisory opinion to the Board recommending the application be denied (Exhibit C). The advisory opinion was forwarded to the applicant for review and response (Exhibit **D**). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

After careful consideration of applicant's request and the available evidence of record, we find insufficient evidence of error or injustice to warrant corrective action. The facts and opinions stated in the advisory opinion appear to be based on the evidence of record and have not been rebutted by applicant. Absent persuasive evidence applicant was denied rights to which entitled, appropriate regulations were not followed, or appropriate standards were not applied, we find no basis to disturb the existing record.

Accordingly, applicant's request is denied.

The Board staff is directed to inform applicant of this decision. Applicant should also be informed that this decision is final and will only 'be reconsidered upon the presentation of new relevant evidence which was not reasonably available at the time the application was filed.

Members of the Board Mr. Henry C. Saunders, Ms. Ann L. Heidig, and Ms. Sophie A. Clark considered this application on 10 December 1998 in accordance with the provisions of Air Force Instruction 36-2603, and the governing statute, 10, U.S.C. 1552.

thy C Hand

HENRY C. SAUNDERS Fanel Chair

Exhibits:

- A. Applicant's DD Form 149
- B. Available Master Personnel Records
- C. Advisory Opinion
- D. SAF/MIBR Ltr Forwarding Advisory Opinion



JUN 0 9 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR AFBCMR

FROM: HQ AFPC/DPPRS 550 C Street West Ste 11 Randolph AFB **TX** 78150-4713

SUBJECT cation for Correction of Milit

.e×r -

The applicant, while serving in the grade of airman basic, was discharged from the Air Force 15 Oct **58** under the provisions of **AFR 39-16** (Unsuitability) and received an under honorable (general) discharge. He served 03 years, **08** months and **15** days total active service.

Requested Action. The applicant is requesting that his discharge be upgraded to honorable.

<u>Basis for Request</u>. Applicant only states that he believes that if a complete **201** file was completed, records would show outstanding service prior to cause of **Art 15**.

<u>Facts.</u> On **19** Aug **58**, applicant's commander recommended that appropriate action be initiated against the applicant to discharge him from service for unsuitability. Commander indicated applicant was negligent toward all aspects of service life. He had been counseled repeatedly in an attempt to make him useful to the Air Force but, all counseling had been to no avail. His attitude continued to remain poor, his response to any task was hostile and apathetic and his performance was unsatisfactory. **An** Evaluation Officer was appointed to review the case file of the applicant and indicated that the applicant had been demoted twice for infractions against the Military Code and recommended that the applicant be discharged with a general discharge. The discharge authority approved the recommendation and directed that applicant be discharged under the provisions of AFR **39-16** and that he be issued an under honorable conditions (general) discharge certificate.

<u>Discussion</u>. This case has been reviewed and the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the sound discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process. The records indicate member's military service was reviewed and appropriate action was taken.

9801419

<u>Recommendation</u>. Applicant did not submit any new evidence or identify any errors in the discharge processing nor provide facts which warrant an upgrade of the discharge he received. Accordingly, we recommend applicant's request be denied. **He** has not filed a timely request.

John L. Mont 7

۰.

ħ,

JOHN C. WOOTEN, DAF Military Personnel Mgmt Spec Separations Branch Dir of Personnel Program Management

•

-



٩,

9801419