

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

FEB 24 1999

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: 98-01053

COUNSEL: No

HEARING DESIRED: No

---

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His duty history include a Duty Air Force Specialty Code (DAFSC) of "X1115M," as reflected on the Officer Performance Report (OPR) closing 1 May 1990, and a duty title of "Squadron Safety Officer," effective 2 May 1989.

---

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

The reasons the applicant believes the records to be in error or unjust and the evidence submitted in support of the appeal are at Exhibit A.

---

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

Applicant is currently serving on extended active duty in the grade of major (Date of Rank: 1 Apr 94).

He was considered but not selected for promotion to the grade of lieutenant colonel by the Calendar Year 1998B (CY98B) board, which convened on 1 Jun 1998. The 1 May 1990 OPR referred to by the applicant reflected a duty title of "F-15 Aircraft Commander," and listed "Squadron Safety Officer" as an additional duty only. His duty history had been administratively corrected in time so that the Officer Selection Brief (OSB) reviewed by the CY98B board did include a duty entry of 2 May 1989 with the requested DAFSC of "X1115M." However, the duty title was "F-15 Aircraft Commander," rather than the requested duty title of "Squadron Safety Officer."

The remaining relevant facts pertaining to this application, extracted from the applicant's military records, are contained in the letter prepared by the appropriate office of the Air Force. Accordingly, there is no need to recite these facts in this Record of Proceedings.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

The Chief, Reports & Queries Team, HQ AFPC/DPAIS1, reviewed the case and states that applicant's duty history should be updated to reflect a new duty entry dated 2 May 1989 showing award of the "X" prefix, and the Personnel Data System (PDS) has been so updated. However, the Chief does not concur with amending the duty title to reflect "Squadron Safety Officer" until the 1 May 1990 OPR is successfully appealed/amended.

A copy of the complete Air Force evaluation is at Exhibit C.

The Chief, Appeals & SSB Branch, HQ AFPC/DPPAB, also evaluated the appeal and concurs with AFPC/DPAIS1. The applicant has not formally requested correction of the 1 May 1990 OPR. Unless this report is successfully appealed, adding the "Squadron Safety Officer" duty title to the 2 May 1989 duty history entry is not appropriate. Only the primary duty title is entered into the PDS, and "Squadron Safety Officer" was listed only as an additional duty on the 1 May 1990 OPR. Absent an official appeal of the OPR with sufficient support and documentation, no further corrections are warranted.

A copy of the complete Air Force evaluation is at Exhibit D.

---

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

Complete copies of the evaluations were forwarded to the applicant on 15 Jun 98 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

---

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1. The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.
2. The application was timely filed.
3. Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of probable error or injustice. Applicant's request to have a duty entry of 2 May 89 with a DAFSC of "X1115M" was administratively approved and implemented in time for the CY98B board's review. As a result, the only issue remaining for this Board's consideration is determining the appropriate duty title for this entry. Although the applicant requests a title of "Squadron Safety Officer," he has provided no evidence to justify this request. The 1 May 1990 OPR has a duty title of "F-15 Aircraft Commander," and lists "Squadron Safety Officer" as an additional duty only. Using this performance

report as a source document, HQ AFPC determined that "F-15 Aircraft Commander" was the appropriate duty title for the 2 May 1989 entry. The applicant has provided no evidence refuting this determination. We therefore agree with the recommendations of the Air Force and adopt the rationale expressed as the basis for our decision that the applicant has failed to sustain his burden of having suffered either an error or an injustice. In view of the above and absent persuasive evidence to the contrary, we find no compelling basis to recommend granting this portion of the applicant's request.

---

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

---

The following members of the Board considered this application in Executive Session on 3 December 1998, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

Mrs. Barbara A. Westgate, Panel Chair  
Dr. Gerald B. Kauvar, Member  
Ms. Rita J. Maldonado, Member

The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 16 Apr 98, w/atchs.
- Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.
- Exhibit C. Letter, HQ AFPC/DPAIS1, dated 11 May 98.
- Exhibit D. Letter, HQ AFPC/DPPPAB, dated 28 May 98.
- Exhibit E. Letter, AFBCMR, dated 15 Jun 98.

  
BARBARA A. WESTGATE  
Panel Chair