AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO: 97-03784

COUNSEL: None

HEARING DESIRED: Yes



Applicant requests his service records be adjusted to reflect 41 more days of marriage within the Air Force. Applicant's submission is at Exhibit A.

The appropriate Air Force office evaluated applicant's request and provided an advisory opinion to the Board recommending the application be denied (Exhibit C). The advisory opinion was forwarded to the applicant for review and response (Exhibit D). Applicant's response to the advisory opinion is at Exhibit E.

After careful consideration of applicant's request and the available evidence of record, we find insufficient evidence of error or injustice to warrant corrective action. The facts and opinions stated in the advisory opinion appear to be based on the evidence of record and have not been sufficiently rebutted by applicant. Absent persuasive evidence applicant was denied rights to which entitled, appropriate regulations were not followed, or appropriate standards were not applied, we find no basis to disturb the existing record.

Accordingly, applicant's request is denied.

The documentation provided with this case was sufficient to give the Board a clear understanding of the issues involved and a personal appearance, with or without legal counsel, would not have materially added to that understanding. Therefore, the request for a hearing is not favorably considered.

The Board staff is directed to inform applicant of this decision. Applicant should also be informed that this decision is final and will only be reconsidered upon the presentation of new relevant evidence which was not reasonably available at the time the application was filed.

Members of the Board Ms. Martha Maust, Mr. Robert W. Zook, and Mr. Kenneth L. Reinertson considered this application on 23 July 1998 in accordance with the provisions of Air Force Instruction 36-2603, and the governing statute, 10, U.S.C. 1552.

Marka Maust

Exhibits:

- A. Applicant's DD Form 149
- B. Available Master Personnel Records
- C. Advisory Opinion
- D. AFBCMR Ltr Forwarding Advisory Opinion
- E. Applicant's Response



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE PERSONNEL CENTER RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS

1 7 MAR 1998

MEM	ODAN	IDII	AFRCMR

1110111 112 14 1 4 1 4 1	FROM:	HQ	AFPC	DPSFR
--------------------------	-------	----	------	--------------

550C St. West, Suite 37

Randolph AFB TX 78150-4739

SUBJECT:	Application for Correction of Military Records
	USAF, Retired,

1. Requested Action: The applicant is requesting correction of his records to provide continued identification card benefits and privileges for his spouse once their divorce is final.

2. Facts:

a voluntarily retired 1 September 1989, with 24 years,
11 months and 16 days of active service. At the time of retirement, the overlap of their marriage
and his creditable service in determining eligibility to retired pay was 19 years, 10 months, and
20 days. The overlap period begins on the date of marriage, in their case, 11 October 1969 and
stops on last day of active duty, 31 August 1989. His spouse met the first two
requirements of the Uniformed Services Former Spouses' Protection Act, She has been married
to t
not meet the third requirement of having completed at least a 20-year requirement of overlap of
marriage and the sponsor's creditable service in determining eligibility to retired pay. Because
the overlap was less than 20 years but more than 15 years, spouse will be entitled
to medical care benefits only as a former spouse for one year from the date of their divorce
provided she is not enrolled in an employer-sponsored health plan or she has not remarried.

that his former spouse meets the **20-year** overlap, thus making her eligible for continued medical care benefits, commissary, base exchange, and theater privileges. However, his records are not in error. No authority exists to change his retirement date for the sole purpose of allowing his spouse eligibility to former spouse (20/20/20) benefits and privileges. The law specifies there must be at least a **20-year** overlap of the marriage and the sponsor's creditable service in determining eligibility to retired pay.

9703784

3. 1	Recommendation	n. No error or injustice l	has occurred.	——— did no	ot complete a
full, 20-yea	r overlap of marr	riage and the sponsor's c	reditable serv	rice in determini	ng eligibility to
retired pay.	To adjust	dates would n	ot be consiste	ent with the inter	nt of the law.
,				HOBACK, GS-S/RAPIDS Open	