JUN 2 6 1998

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: 97-03552

COUNSEL: None

HEARING DESIRED: No

Applicant requests that his under other than honorable conditions discharge be upgraded to honorable. Applicant's submission is at Exhibit A.

The Air Force Discharge Review Board (AFDRB) denied applicant's request on 18 Nov 97. In accordance with policy, the application was forwarded to this Board for further consideration (Exhibit C). The decision of the AFDRB was forwarded to the applicant for review and response (Exhibit D). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

After careful consideration of applicant's request and the available evidence of record, we find insufficient evidence of error or injustice to warrant corrective action. The facts and opinions stated in the AFDRB Brief appear to be based on the evidence of record and have not been rebutted by applicant. Absent persuasive evidence applicant was denied rights to which entitled, appropriate regulations were not followed, s or appropriate standards were not applied, we find no basis to disturb the existing record.

Accordingly, applicant's request is denied.

The Board staff is directed to inform applicant of this decision. Applicant should also be informed that this decision is final and will only be reconsidered upon the presentation of new relevant evidence which was not reasonably available at the time the application was filed.

Members of the Board Mr. Wayne R. Gracie, Mr. Dana J. Gilmour, and Mr. Allen Beckett considered this application on 23 June 1998 in accordance with the provisions of Air Force Instruction 36-2603, and the governing statute, 10, U.S.C. 1552.

e K. Groeio Wayne R. GRACIE

Panel Chair

Exhibits:

- A. Applicant's DD Form 149
- B. Available Master Personnel Records
- C. AFDRB Brief
- D. AFBCMR Ltr Forwarding AFDRB Brief

	t, First, Middlo Initial)					GRAD	e .		AFS	N/658	
		÷									
TYPE OF CASE		PERSONAL HEARING	X	RECORD REVIEW							
CHUNSEE NAME OF COU	INSEL AND OR DRGANIZA	TION				ADDR	ESS AND O	R ORGANIZATIO	OF COUNSEL		
YES NO MR. WILL	le F. Toomer	(Father)				576	5 LOS	CREEK D	R		
<u>x    </u>	<u> </u>					SUN	ATER, S	S C 29154			
MEMBERS SITTING									YATE DE 1923	T	
and the second		<u>+'</u>	HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHEN	05XY				
Kan an ann an Anna an Anna Anna Anna Ann					<u> </u>		X				
		–					X				
						_					X
						_			Ļ		X
Lucian Statistics	a de la secol					L					X
ISSUES						<b>F</b>				2.796 864.60	
A92.35, A94.11			A67.30			1/2	ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE				
					a	<u> </u>	F NOTIFICATION		·		
						4	LETTER OF VERSONNEL FILE				
EARING DATE CASE HUMBER											
97 11 17				197-00043		<u> </u>		"& RELEASE TO	THE BOARD URMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE		
						· · · M	ADDITIO	AL EXHIBITS SI	GNETTED AT TH	IE OF PERSONAL, A	PPEARANCE
			1			TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING					
		APPLICATES ISSUE 1	NU THE BOARD'S	DECEMBER & TEM	I & ARE INSCRESSION & TH	EATTAC	HER AFMO	JOBN 1450			
		1011,711,712,712,712,712,712,712,712,712,7	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~								
REMARKS									<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>		
REMARKS											
	ngton, DC.										
Case heard at Washin		licant of tha <b>der</b>	ision of the	Board and that	the case has been	1 forw	ardadt	o the AFB	C'MR for fu	inther proce	ssina
REMARKS Case heard at Washir DD Form 149 submit		plicant of tha <b>dec</b>	ision of the	Board and that	the case has been	) forw	vardedt	o the AFB	CMR for fu	inther proce	ssing
Case heard at Washii		plicant of tha <b>dec</b>	ision of the	Board and that	the case has beer	ı forw	vardedt	o the AFB	CMR for fu	irther proce	ssing
Case heard at Washii		viicant of tha <b>dec</b>	isian of the	Board and that	the case has been	) forw	rarded t	o the AFB	CMR for fu	inther proce	ssing
Case heard at Washin		blicant of tha <b>dec</b>	isian of the	Board and that	the case has been	ı forw	rarded t	o the AFB	CMR for fu	Inther proce	ssing
Case heard at Washii		viicant of tha <b>dec</b>	ision of the	Board and that	the case has been	ı forw	varded t	o the AFB	CMR for fu	inther proce	ssing
Case heard at Washii		viicant of tha dec	isian of the		the case has been	) forw	vardedt	o the AFB	CMR for fu	irther proce	ssing
Case heard at Washii		blicant of tha dec	isian of the			ı forw	rarded t	o the AFB	CMR for fu	inther proce	ssing
Case heard at Washi DD Form 149 submit		olicant of tha dec	isian of the			) forw	rarded	o the AFB	CMR for fu	irther proce	ssing
Case heard at Washi DD Form 149 submit		olicant of tha dec	isian of the			) forw	varded t	o the AFB	CMR for fu		
Case heard at Washii DD Form 149 submit		blicant of tha dec		(Egg)	SKINATURE OF BOARD OF					wither proce	
Case heard at Washin DD Form 149 submit DD Form 149 submit	ted. Advise app	viicant of tha dec		(Egg)	FROM: SECRATURE OF BOARD FROM: SECRETARY OF TH ATH FORCE DIRECH	IE AJR FO	RCE PERSO	ANNEL COUNCIL			
Case heard at Washin DD Form 149 submit	ted. Advise app	blicant of tha dec		(Egg)	BRINATURE OF BOARD OF T	IE AJR FO Ange Rev	RCE PERSO REW BDARI NG 3rd Floa	ANNEL COUNCIL			

4.19

e

\*

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to Honorable.

The applicant **was** offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains the available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge is denied.

The Board finds that neither evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety which would justify a change of discharge.

The applicant's issues are listed in the attached brief.

Issue **1**. The applicant states that the reason for his discharge was **an isolated** incident in his 24 months of service. The Board recognized the precipitating incident **was**, indeed, isolated, but the **seriousness** of the misconduct, i.e., providing alcoholic beverages to minors and **committing** indecent acts on a minor, outweighs the positive aspects of the applicant's **service**. His records show other **administrative and non-judicial actions in his service years** which were **not addressed in his discharge but which indicate other** than' exemplary service being rendered. No **inequity** or impropriety **was found** in this case upon which to base **an** upgrade of discharge.

Issue 2. The applicant states that his commanding officer had a personal grudge against **him** and worked to ensure his discharge under the characterization rendered. The incident that prompted his discharge came within a very short time of when the applicant was scheduled for **an** early separation to attend college under a basketball scholarship, and he was **kept** on administrative hold until appropriate action could be taken **for** his **serious** misconduct. **The** Board found no evidence to support the applicant's contention **as stated** and also found no inequity in the course of events leading to his discharge.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings the Board further concludes that there exists **no** legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not **be** changed.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief

AFHQ 0-454, FEB 77

## DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD ANDREWS AFB, MD

FD97-00043

(Former A1C)

1. MATHER UNDER REVIEW: Appl rec'd a UOHHC Disch fr USAF 95/08/24 UP AFI 36-3208, Ch 6, Para 6.13.1 (Misconduct). Appeals for HON Disch.

2. BACKGROUND:

a. DOB: 72/07/09. Enlmt Age: 20 10/12. Disch Age: 23 2/12. Educ: (RIP reflects) 60-89 S/H. AFQT: Unk. AQE: M-43, A-95, G-70, E-67. PAFSC: 2E031B - Air Traffic Control Radar Apprentice. DAS: 94/04/22.

b, Prior Sv: 93/02/11 - 93/06/02 (3 Mos 22 Das) (Inactive).

## 3. SERVICE UNDER REVIEW:

10000

- a. Enld as ALC 93/06/03 for 4 yrs. Svd: 2 Yrs 2 Mos 21 Das, all AMS.
- b. Grade Status: NONE
- c. Time Lost; NONE
- d. Art 15's: (1) 95/03/22, Altus AFB, in viol of UCMJ, Art 91, You having received a lawful order from SSgt L--- D--- S--- a noncommissioned officer, then known by you to be a noncommissioned officer, to not drink any further alcoholic beverages while on standby duty, an order which it was your duty to obey, o/a 95/03/10, willfully disobey the same. (Oral/written mitigation). Rdn AMN, Forf \$223 pay (Susp until 95/09/21),& 5 das extra dy. (No appeal).
  - (2) 95/07/10, at or near Altus, Oklahoma, in viol of UCMJ, Art 134, You did, o/a 95/06/13, commit an indecent act upon the body of C--- M---, a female under 16 yrs of age, not your wife, by fondling her and placing your hands upon her body and private parts, with the intent to gratify your sexual desires. You did, supply alcohol to a fifteen yrs old female and this conduct was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces. (Writtenmitigation). No punishment. (No appeal).
- e. CM: NONE

f. Record of Sv: 93/06/03 95/02/02 Altus AFB 3 (Initial)

FD97-00043

÷.

• **#** 

g. Awards & Decs: AFTR, & NDSM

h. Stmt of Sv: TMS: 2 Yrs 6 Mos 13 Das TAMS: 2 Yrs 2 Mos 21 Das

4. BASIS ADVANCED FOR REVIEW: Appln (DD Fm 293) dtd 96/11/13.

(Change Discharge to Honorable)

ISSUE 1: My undesirable discharge was inequitable because it was based on one isolated incident in 24 months of service with no other severe adverse actions.

**ISSUE 2:** My undesirable discharge was inequitable because my commanding officer **had** a personal grudge against me; since I was approved  $\in$  or early separation to go back to school on a basketball scholarship.

ATCH

6

Request & Authorization for Separation AF Fm 100

97/06/24/drh